

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

1. AMY LYNN WHITESELL V. JUSTIN ANDREW WHITESELL

23FL1054

On April 10, 2024, the parties filed a Stipulation and Order Re: Domestic Violence Restraining Order; Custody; Parenting Provisions and Other. As part of the terms of that agreement, Petitioner agreed to withdraw her Request for Domestic Violence Restraining Order and vacate all trial dates with the exception of attending Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) to set holiday and vacation schedules.

The parties attended CCRC on May 2, 2024. They reached agreements on all issues and a report codifying those agreements was prepared and mailed to the parties the same day. Neither party has filed a response to the CCRC report.

After reviewing the agreements of the parties, the court finds them to be in the best interests of the minors. Therefore, the agreements as stated in the May 2, 2024 CCRC report are hereby adopted as the orders of the court. Petitioner shall prepare and file the Findings and Orders After Hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #1: THE AGREEMENTS AS STATED IN THE MAY 2, 2024 CCRC REPORT ARE HEREBY ADOPTED AS THE ORDERS OF THE COURT. PETITIONER SHALL PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

2. AMY WHITESELL V. JUSTIN WHITESELL

23FL1102

On April 25, 2024, Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking child and spousal support orders. He filed his Income and Expense Declaration concurrently therewith. Both documents, along with all other required documents, were mail served on May 3, 2024. Petitioner filed and served her Responsive Declaration to Request for Order and her Income and Expense Declaration on July 3rd.

The parties filed a Stipulation and Order for Vocational Evaluation on July 9th wherein they agreed that Respondent would undergo a vocational evaluation and they asked that the court set a review hearing for receipt of the vocational evaluation.

Respondent filed his RFO requesting guideline child and spousal support. According to Petitioner, Respondent has agreed to the imputation of full-time minimum wage income. She states that she has made voluntary payments on support and asks the court to reserve jurisdiction on arrears. She further requests a two-way overtime/bonus table. She also requests a seek work order with Respondent ordered to submit five applications per week and submit proof thereof to Petitioner's counsel and to schedule and meaningfully participate in any interviews and to accept any full-time employment offered to him. She also asks that Respondent be ordered to notify Petitioner of any job offers he receives.

This matter is continued to 10/17/2024 at 8:30 am in Department 5 for receipt of the vocational evaluation report. The court reserves jurisdiction to order support back to the date of filing the RFO. Parties are ordered to file and serve updated Income and Expense Declarations and Supplemental Declarations no later than 10 days prior to the next hearing date.

TENTATIVE RULING #2: THIS MATTER IS CONTINUED TO 10/17/2024 AT 8:30 AM IN DEPARTMENT 5 FOR RECEIPT OF THE VOCATIONAL EVALUATION REPORT. THE COURT RESERVES JURISDICTION TO ORDER SUPPORT BACK TO THE DATE OF FILING THE RFO. PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO FILE AND SERVE UPDATED INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATIONS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATIONS NO LATER THAN 10 DAYS PRIOR TO THE NEXT HEARING DATE.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

3. BROOKE SPARKS V. JOSHUA DANIEL WHEELER

22FL0624

On April 25, 2024, Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking custody and visitation orders for the parties' minor child. The RFO and all other required documents were mail served on May 1st.

Petitioner filed her Responsive Declaration to Request for Order on July 9th. The court finds this to be late filed pursuant to Civil Procedure section 1005(b) which states all opposition papers are to be filed at least nine court days before the hearing date. Section 12c states, "[w]here any law requires an act to be performed no later than a specified number of days before a hearing date, the last day to perform that act shall be determined by counting backward from the hearing date, *excluding the day of the hearing* as provided by Section 12." Cal. Civ. Pro. § 12c. Section 1005(b) in conjunction with Section 12c would have made July 5th the last day for filing Petitioner's Responsive Declaration to Request for Order. Therefore, this document is late filed and cannot be considered by the court.

Respondent filed his RFO seeking the following orders: (1) Respondent to have primary physical custody of the minor and Petitioner to have visitation every other weekend from Friday after school to Sunday at 6:00 pm; (2) Joint legal custody with final decision making authority to Respondent; (3) Petitioner's boyfriend Cale to not be present during Petitioner's parenting time; (4) parties to jointly enroll in coparenting classes or counseling; and (5) a holiday schedule.

The parties attended Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) on May 29th. As they were unable to reach any agreements a report with recommendations was prepared and mailed to the parties on July 5th.

The court has reviewed the filings as outlined above and finds the recommendations contained in the CCRC report to be in the best interests of the minor. They are hereby adopted as the orders of the court. In addition to adopting the CCRC recommendations, the court is granting Respondent's request for a no contact order between the minor and Petitioner's boyfriend Cale. Petitioner's boyfriend, Cale shall not be present when the minor is having visitation with Petitioner, and Petitioner is ordered to ensure that there is no contact between the minor and Cale.

All prior orders not in conflict with this order remain in full force and effect. Respondent shall prepare and file the Findings and Orders After Hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #3: THE RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED IN THE JULY 5, 2024 CCRC REPORT ARE HEREBY ADOPTED AS THE ORDERS OF THE COURT. IN ADDITION TO ADOPTING THE CCRC RECOMMENDATIONS, THE COURT IS GRANTING

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

RESPONDENT'S REQUEST FOR A NO CONTACT ORDER BETWEEN THE MINOR AND PETITIONER'S BOYFRIEND CALE. PETITIONER'S BOYFRIEND, CALE SHALL NOT BE PRESENT WHEN THE MINOR IS HAVING VISITATION WITH PETITIONER, AND PETITIONER IS ORDERED TO ENSURE THAT THERE IS NO CONTACT BETWEEN THE MINOR AND CALE. ALL PRIOR ORDERS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH THIS ORDER REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. RESPONDENT SHALL PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

4. DAVID ANDERSON V. LAURA ANDERSON

23FL0694

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) on April 25, 2024, seeking an order for trial preference pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 36 and an order for Respondent to appear for her deposition and produce the documents requested in that notice no later than June 21, 2023. Petitioner concurrently filed a Declaration of Counsel. Proof of Service shows Respondent was electronically served on April 25, 2024.

Respondent filed a Responsive Declaration on July 5, 2024. There is no Proof of Service for this document, therefore, the court has not considered it.

The court orders the parties to appear for the hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #4: THE COURT ORDERS THE PARTIES TO APPEAR FOR THE HEARING.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

5. EUGENE SALMINA V. CASSIDY SALMINA

22FL0644

On February 28, 2024, Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) along with an Income and Expense Declaration and Respondent's Declaration in Support. All documents were served on March 25th. Petitioner filed his Income and Expense Declaration on May 10, 2024, though there is no Proof of Service for this document.

Parties appeared for the hearing on May 23, 2024, and were able to reach agreements, including for Petitioner to pay Respondent child support in the sum of \$1,000 per month effective immediately, without prejudice and subject to retroactive modification to the date of the RFO. Respondent shall begin reunification counseling with the minor Bode immediately. The former marital residence to be listed for sale and sold forthwith. The proceeds of the sale to be deposited into one of the attorneys' client trust accounts absent agreement otherwise. Respondent to have one overnight with the minor per week to start immediately. The parties further agreed to a review hearing on all issues before the court. Parties were ordered to file and serve updated Income and Expense Declarations at least 10 days prior to the hearing.

Respondent filed and served an updated Income and Expense Declaration on July 8, 2024.

Petitioner filed and served a Declaration of Christine Philips on July 12, 2024. The court finds this to be untimely, and therefore, will not consider it. Petitioner has not filed an updated Income and Expense Declaration as ordered.

The court finds it does not have the requisite information before it to make any additional orders. As such, the court orders the parties to appear for the hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #5: PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR FOR THE HEARING.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

6. FAITH ROBLES V. ARMANDO ROBLES

24FL0048

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) on February 7, 2024, requesting orders for custody and visitation as well as child support, and attorney's fees. She filed her Income and Expense Declaration on February 13, 2024. Both documents were served on February 13th along with all other required documents. Respondent filed and served his Responsive Declaration to Request for Order and his Income and Expense Declaration on April 11th.

Petitioner filed and electronically served her Reply Declaration on April 17th.

Respondent filed and served Respondent's Reply Declaration to CCRC Report on April 18th.

The court finds the issues of child and spousal support as well as attorney's fees were addressed at the April 25, 2024 hearing. Therefore, the court will only address the issues of child custody and the parenting plan below.

Petitioner brings her RFO requesting joint legal and joint physical custody of the parties' two minor children. She asks that the children reside primarily with her but have visits with Respondent every other weekend from Saturday at 9:00 am until Sunday at 5:00 pm. She also requests an order directing Respondent to purchase and install separate beds for the minors to sleep on when they are at his residence.

Respondent does not oppose joint legal and physical custody though he is asking for visitation from Friday at 5:00 pm until Tuesday drop off at daycare or to return to Petitioner directly. He notes that during his weekday visits he can use the same daycare that the children attend when they are with Petitioner.

The parties attended Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) on March 6th and, according to the report, were apparently able to reach agreements as to custody and visitation. The CCRC report was prepared and mailed to the party on April 12th.

The parties appeared for the hearing on April 25th, and requested to be rereferred to CCRC as they disputed the information contained in the April 12th report. Both parties stated they had not reached agreements on the issues. The court granted the request and rereferred the parties for a further CCRC appointment on May 6, 2024. The court adopted the CCRC report without modification pending the review hearing. The court set a further review hearing on July 18, 2024.

Both parties attended the May 6th CCRC appointment and were unable to reach any agreements. A report with recommendations was filed with the court on July 5, 2024, and mailed to the parties on July 8th.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

Petitioner filed a Reply Declaration to the CCRC report on July 11, 2024. Respondent was served the same day. Once again, Petitioner disputes the information as set forth in the CCRC report and disagrees with the recommendations.

Respondent filed a Response to Petitioner's Reply Declaration on July 15, 2024. The court finds this to be untimely. Further, upon review of the court file, there is no Proof of Service showing this document was properly served on Petitioner. As such, the court has not considered it.

The court has reviewed the filings as outlined above and, finds the recommendations as set forth in the July 5th CCRC report are in the best interests of the children and they are therefore adopted as the orders of the court except for the Parenting Time section. The parties are to exercise visitation as follows. The parties are to share physical custody of the children. The children are to reside primarily with Petitioner. Respondent shall have parenting time on the 1st, 2nd, and 4th weekends of the month from Friday at 5:00 PM until Monday drop off at daycare or to be returned to Petitioner at 8:00 AM. Additionally, the parties are to maintain a holiday schedule wherein the children are with Petitioner on Mother's Day and with Respondent on Father's Day. In odd years, Petitioner shall have the children for Easter and Christmas day, and Respondent shall have Thanksgiving and Christmas Eve. In even years, Petitioner shall have Thanksgiving and Christmas Eve, and Respondent shall have Easter and Christmas day. The parties shall also alternate the minors' birthdays. Petitioner shall have the odd years and Respondent shall have the even years.

All prior orders not in conflict with this order remain in full force and effect. Petitioner shall prepare and file the Findings and Orders After Hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #6: THE COURT FINDS THE RECOMMENDATIONS AS SET FORTH IN THE JULY 5TH CCRC REPORT ARE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILDREN AND THEY ARE THEREFORE, ADOPTED AS THE ORDERS OF THE COURT EXCEPT FOR THE PARENTING TIME SECTION. THE PARTIES ARE TO EXERCISE VISITATION AS FOLLOWS. THE PARTIES ARE TO SHARE PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE CHILDREN. THE CHILDREN ARE TO RESIDE PRIMARILY WITH PETITIONER. RESPONDENT SHALL HAVE PARENTING TIME ON THE 1ST, 2ND, AND 4TH WEEKENDS OF THE MONTH FROM FRIDAY AT 5:00 PM UNTIL MONDAY DROP OFF AT DAYCARE OR TO BE RETURNED TO PETITIONER AT 8:00 AM. ADDITIONALLY, THE PARTIES ARE TO MAINTAIN A HOLIDAY SCHEDULE WHEREIN THE CHILDREN ARE WITH PETITIONER ON MOTHER'S DAY AND WITH RESPONDENT ON FATHER'S DAY. IN ODD YEARS, PETITIONER SHALL HAVE THE CHILDREN FOR EASTER AND CHRISTMAS DAY, AND RESPONDENT SHALL HAVE THANKSGIVING AND CHRISTMAS EVE. IN EVEN YEARS, PETITIONER SHALL HAVE THANKSGIVING AND

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

CHRISTMAS EVE, AND RESPONDENT SHALL HAVE EASTER AND CHRISTMAS DAY. THE PARTIES SHALL ALSO ALTERNATE THE MINORS' BIRTHDAYS. PETITIONER SHALL HAVE THE ODD YEARS AND RESPONDENT SHALL HAVE THE EVEN YEARS. ALL PRIOR ORDERS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH THIS ORDER REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. PETITIONER SHALL PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

7. HOLLY CHARLES V. JOSEPH CHARLES

23FL0516

This matter is set for hearing to address Respondent's compliance with the court's order compelling him to complete his preliminary declarations of disclosure. Petitioner filed and served a Supplemental Declaration Re: Respondent's Preliminary Declaration Obligation, Request to Drop Hearing on July 11th. According to Petitioner, Respondent has complied with the court's order therefore this matter is dropped from calendar.

TENTATIVE RULING #7: THIS MATTER IS DROPPED FROM CALENDAR AS RESPONDENT HAS COMPLIED WITH THE COURT'S PRIOR ORDER.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

8. KIP WEBER V. KATHARINE WEBER

PFL20180264

Counsel for Petitioner, Wendy S. Finen, filed her Notice of Motion and Motion to be Relieved as Counsel and her supporting declaration on April 23, 2024. The motion was mail served on Petitioner on June 20th. Counsel has shown good cause for withdrawal as the attorney of record for Petitioner due to the irreparable breakdown of the attorney-client relationship. The motion is granted.

TENTATIVE RULING #8: THE MOTION TO BE RELIEVED AS COUNSEL IS GRANTED. WITHDRAWAL WILL BE EFFECTIVE AS OF THE DATE OF FILING PROOF OF SERVICE OF THE FORMAL, SIGNED ORDER, UPON THE CLIENT.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

9. KRISTA KLINGENBERG V. DANIEL KERSEY

PFL20120509

On March 12, 2024, the parties appeared before the court for hearing on a Domestic Violence Restraining Order (DVRO). The DVRO was granted, and the parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) with an appointment on March 15th. A review hearing was set for April 25th. Respondent was ordered to file and serve an Income and Expense Declaration no later than 10 days prior to the hearing date. The court noted it would accept Petitioner's Income and Expense Declaration dated February 13, 2024.

Only Respondent attended the March 15, 2024 CCRC appointment and therefore no recommendations could be made. The parties attended the hearing on April 25th and requested a re-referral to CCRC, which the court granted.

The parties attended the rescheduled CCRC appointment on May 24, 2024. They were unable to reach any agreements, therefore a report with recommendations was prepared and mailed to the parties on July 1st.

On July 9th Petitioner filed and served Petitioner's Declaration Re: CCRC Report Dated 7/1/22024. There have been no other filings.

CCRC recommends joint legal custody and increased, unsupervised, physical custody to Respondent. Petitioner opposes the recommendations noting that a DVRO has been issued naming her and the children as protected parties. The CCRC report does not address the DVRO. Petitioner asks that the court decline to adopt the CCRC recommendations and instead award her sole legal and physical custody of the children with supervised visits to Respondent every Thursday from 5:30 pm to 8:00 pm and every other Saturday or Sunday from 2:00 pm to 6:00 pm.

Given the DVRO, the court finds the provisions of Family Code Section 3044 to be applicable. Fam. Code § 3044(a). Section 3044 gives rise to a rebuttable presumption that an award of sole or joint physical or legal custody to an individual who has perpetrated domestic violence is not in the best interest of the child. *Id.* "This presumption may only be rebutted by a preponderance of the evidence." *Id.* To overcome the presumption, the perpetrator bears the burden of proving (1) giving sole or joint legal or physical custody to the perpetrator is in the best interest of the child; and (2) a balancing of the factors listed in Section 3044(b)(2) supports the legislative findings in Section 3020. Fam. Code § 3044(b). Among the factors to be considered are the following: Completion alcohol or drug abuse counseling, completion of a batterer's treatment program, completion of a parenting class, compliance with terms and conditions of probation, parole or a restraining order, if any, and whether or not further acts of domestic violence have occurred. *Id.*

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

Here, the court does not find that Respondent has rebutted the Section 3044 presumption and therefore, the legal and physical custody recommendations in the CCRC report are not being adopted. Respondent has not provided the court with any evidence that he has successfully completed a batterer's intervention program or a parenting class. Furthermore, according to Petitioner, Respondent has failed to comply with the terms of the DVRO. For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner is awarded sole legal and sole physical custody of the children. Respondent shall have non-professionally supervised visits every Thursday from 5:30 pm to 8:00 pm and every other Saturday or Sunday from 2:00 pm to 6:00 pm.

The court is adopting the CCRC recommendations regarding counseling, co-parenting, phone contact between the parties and the children, and communications between the parties. Additionally, Respondent is ordered to complete a parenting class and a batterer's intervention program and provide the court and Petitioner with evidence of completion. A review hearing is set for 10/17/2024 at 8:30 am in Department 5, to address whether or not Respondent has rebutted the Section 3044 presumption.

All prior orders not in conflict with this order remain in full force and effect. Petitioner shall prepare and file the Findings and Orders After Hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #9: PETITIONER IS AWARDED SOLE LEGAL AND SOLE PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE CHILDREN. RESPONDENT SHALL HAVE NON-PROFESSIONALLY SUPERVISED VISITS EVERY THURSDAY FROM 5:30 PM TO 8:00 PM AND EVERY OTHER SATURDAY OR SUNDAY FROM 2:00 PM TO 6:00 PM. THE COURT IS ADOPTING THE CCRC RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING COUNSELING, CO-PARENTING, PHONE CONTACT BETWEEN THE PARTIES AND THE CHILDREN, AND COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN THE PARTIES. ADDITIONALLY, RESPONDENT IS ORDERED TO COMPLETE A PARENTING CLASS AND A BATTERER'S INTERVENTION PROGRAM AND PROVIDE THE COURT AND PETITIONER WITH EVIDENCE OF COMPLETION. A REVIEW HEARING IS SET FOR 10/17/2024 AT 8:30 AM IN DEPARTMENT 5, TO ADDRESS WHETHER OR NOT RESPONDENT HAS REBUTTED THE SECTION 3044 PRESUMPTION. ALL PRIOR ORDERS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH THIS ORDER REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. PETITIONER SHALL PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

10. KRISTIN FRANCE V. JAMES FRANCE

PFL20170514

On February 14, 2024, Respondent filed an Ex Parte Application and Declaration for Orders and Notice. A Request for Order (RFO) was filed concurrently therewith. The ex parte was denied as the court did not find there to be exigent circumstances. Petitioner was ordered not to transport the minors with any measurable amount of alcohol in her system and the matter was set on the regular law and motion calendar.

The parties attended Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) on March 11, 2024, but they were unable to reach any agreements. A CCRC report with recommendations was prepared on May 30th. It was mailed to the parties on May 31st. Respondent filed and served a Reply Declaration on June 6th.

The matter came before the court for hearing on June 13th at which time the court adopted the recommendations contained in the CCRC report and appointed Kelly Bentley as Minors' Counsel. A review hearing was set for the present date to address a custody schedule during the school year for the two younger minors, Taryn and Jameson, and whether they should be enrolled in school in El Dorado County. Parties, and Minor's Counsel, were ordered to file and serve supplemental declarations no later than 10 days prior to the hearing date.

On July 3rd, Petitioner filed and served a Declaration of Phil Grites, Maternal Grandfather, a Declaration of Karen Grites, Maternal Grandmother, and a Declaration of Petitioner/Mother. Respondent also filed and served his Supplemental Declaration on July 3rd. Minors' Counsel's Statement Re: Custody and Visitation and Request for Disposition was filed and served on July 9th. Petitioner's Reply Declaration to Minor Counsel's Statement Regarding Custody, Visitation and Request for Disposition was filed and served on July 10th.

After reviewing the filings of the parties, the court believes an evidentiary hearing on this matter is necessary. The parties are ordered to appear to select hearing dates.

TENTATIVE RULING #10: THE PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR TO SELECT HEARING DATES.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

11. LAURA WOLCOTT V. OLIVER WOLCOTT

PFL20140730

This matter came before the court for a review hearing on September 14, 2023, at which time the court ordered Petitioner to have a minimum of 6 hours of unsupervised visits per week including one overnight visit from 12:00 pm on Saturday to 12:00 pm on Sunday with either one or both of the minors. The minors were given the ability to choose the date, time, and place for the visits as well as whether or not additional visitation would occur. All other orders were affirmed by the court and a review hearing was set for March 14th.

Petitioner's Supplemental Declaration was filed and served on March 4, 2024. Thereafter, the parties stipulated to amend visitation and continue the review hearing to the present date. Petitioner filed another Supplemental Declaration on July 8th. Neither Respondent nor Minor's Counsel has filed a Supplemental Declaration.

Petitioner requests the following orders: (1) Modify parenting time for Zoe to allow Petitioner to have at least one mid-week overnight visit and one overnight visit every other weekend; and (2) Respondent to participate in coparenting counseling or courses.

After reviewing the filings as outlined above the court does find Petitioner's requested orders to be in the best interests of the minors. Therefore, all previously agreed upon custody and visitation orders remain in full force and effect with the modification that Petitioner shall have at least one mid-week overnight visit with Zoe each week, and one overnight visit every other weekend. The parties are ordered to participate in co-parenting counseling. They are ordered to meet and confer and select a counselor no later than August 1st. The parties are to commence the intake process as soon as possible after a counselor is chosen.

All prior orders not in conflict with this order remain in full force and effect. Petitioner shall prepare and file the Findings and Orders After Hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #11: ALL PREVIOUSLY AGREED UPON CUSTODY AND VISITATION ORDERS REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT WITH THE MODIFICATION THAT PETITIONER SHALL HAVE AT LEAST ONE MID-WEEK OVERNIGHT VISIT WITH ZOE EACH WEEK, AND ONE OVERNIGHT VISIT EVERY OTHER WEEKEND. THE PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO PARTICIPATE IN CO-PARENTING COUNSELING. THEY ARE ORDERED TO MEET AND CONFER AND SELECT A COUNSELOR NO LATER THAN AUGUST 1ST. THE PARTIES ARE TO COMMENCE THE INTAKE PROCESS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AFTER A COUNSELOR IS CHOSEN. ALL PRIOR ORDERS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH THIS ORDER REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. PETITIONER SHALL PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

12. SANDRA SMITH V. CHRISTOPHER SMITH

23FL1223

On February 7, 2024, Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) requesting the court make orders as to child custody and parenting time, child and spousal support, and attorney's fees. Respondent concurrently filed an Income and Expense Declaration. The parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) with an appointment on March 7, 2024, and a review hearing on April 18, 2024. Proof of Service shows Petitioner was served by mail on February 7, 2024.

Both parties attended CCRC on March 7, 2024, and reached a full agreement. A report memorializing the parties' agreement was filed with the court on March 7th. Copies were mailed to the parties on March 8, 2024.

Petitioner filed a Responsive Declaration and an Income and Expense Declaration on April 5, 2024. Respondent was personally served on April 4, 2024.

Respondent filed a Code of Civil Procedure section 170.6 motion for disqualification against visiting Judge Winn on April 17, 2024. The matter was, therefore, continued to May 2, 2024.

On April 30, 2024, the parties submitted a Stipulation and Order for the court's signature. The parties agreed to adopt their agreements as set forth in the March 7th CCRC report and continue the remaining issues to July 18, 2024. The court signed the Stipulation and Order on April 30, 2024.

The court finds the custody and parenting plan requests have been resolved through the parties' April 30th Stipulation.

Petitioner filed an updated Income and Expense Declaration on June 28, 2024. It was served on Respondent the same day. Respondent filed an updated Income and Expense Declaration on July 11, 2024. It was served on Petitioner the same day.

Utilizing the June 28th and July 11th filed Income and Expense Declarations, with a 50% timeshare and the tax status of married filing jointly, the court finds guideline child support to be \$1,106 per month payable from Petitioner to Respondent (see attached DissoMaster). This order is effective August 1, 2024. Payments are due on the first of each month thereafter until further order of the court or termination by operation of law. The court notes in Petitioner's Income and Expense Declaration she states she has been voluntarily paying Respondent \$1,100 per month as and for child support.

Turning next to temporary guideline spousal support, utilizing the same figures as set forth above, the court finds temporary guideline spousal support under the Alameda

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

formula to be \$949 per month payable from Petitioner to Respondent (see attached DissoMaster). This order is effective August 1, 2024. Payments are due on the first of each month thereafter until further order of the court or termination by operation of law.

As to Respondent's request for attorney's fees, the court must conduct an analysis under Family Code section 2030. The public policy of Family Code section 2030 is to provide "at the outset of litigation, consistent with the financial circumstances of the parties, parity between spouses in their ability to obtain effective legal representation." In Re Marriage of Keech, 75 Cal. App. 4th 860, 866 (1999). This assures each party has access to legal representation to preserve each party's rights. It "is not the redistribution of money from the greater income party to the lesser income party," but rather "parity." Alan S. v Superior Court, 172 Cal. App. 4th 238,251(2009). In the face of a request for attorney's fees and costs, the court is to make findings on "whether there is a disparity in access to funds to retain counsel, and whether one party is able to pay for legal representation of both parties." Fam. Code § 2030(a)(2).

Family Code section 2032 works in tandem with Section 2030 to ensure that any award of costs and fees is just and reasonable. Fam. Code § 2032. "In determining what is just and reasonable under the relative circumstances, the court shall take into consideration the need for the award to enable each party, to the extent practical, to have sufficient financial resources to present the party's case adequately." *Id.* at (b).

In the matter at hand, there is a disparity in income between the parties. This is true even after the order for support the court has made today. However, the court cannot find that after the support award that Petitioner is able to pay for both her own and Respondent's attorney's fees. As such, the request for attorney's fees pursuant to Family Code section 2030 is denied.

All prior orders not in conflict with this order remain in full force and effect. Respondent shall prepare and file the Findings and Orders After Hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #12: THE COURT FINDS THE CUSTODY AND PARENTING PLAN REQUESTS HAVE BEEN RESOLVED THROUGH THE PARTIES' APRIL 30TH STIPULATION. UTILIZING THE JUNE 28TH AND JULY 11TH FILED INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATIONS, WITH A 50% TIMESHARE AND THE TAX STATUS OF MARRIED FILING JOINTLY, THE COURT FINDS GUIDELINE CHILD SUPPORT TO BE \$1,106 PER MONTH PAYABLE FROM PETITIONER TO RESPONDENT (SEE ATTACHED DISSOMASTER). THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE AUGUST 1, 2024. PAYMENTS ARE DUE ON THE FIRST OF EACH MONTH THEREAFTER UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT OR TERMINATION BY OPERATION OF LAW. THE COURT NOTES IN PETITIONER'S INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATION

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

SHE STATES SHE HAS BEEN VOLUNTARILY PAYING RESPONDENT \$1,100 PER MONTH AS AND FOR CHILD SUPPORT. THE COURT FINDS TEMPORARY GUIDELINE SPOUSAL SUPPORT UNDER THE ALAMEDA FORMULA TO BE \$949 PER MONTH PAYABLE FROM PETITIONER TO RESPONDENT (SEE ATTACHED DISSOMASTER). THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE AUGUST 1, 2024. PAYMENTS ARE DUE ON THE FIRST OF EACH MONTH THEREAFTER UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT OR TERMINATION BY OPERATION OF LAW. FOR THE REASONS SET FORTH ABOVE, THE COURT DENIES RESPONDENT'S REQUEST FOR FAMILY CODE SECTION 2030 ATTORNEY'S FEES. ALL PRIOR ORDERS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH THIS ORDER REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. RESPONDENT SHALL PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS): California	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
ATTORNEY FOR: Respondent	DISSOMASTER REPORT 2024, Monthly	
		CASE NUMBER:

Input Data	Responden	Petitioner	Guideline (2024)	Cash Flow Analysis	Responden	Petitioner
Number of children	0	2	Nets (adjusted)	Guideline		
% time with Second Parent	50%	0%	Respondent	3,822	Payment (cost)/benefit	2,054 (2,054)
Filing status	MFJ->	<-MFJ	Petitioner	9,172	Net spendable income	5,876 7,117
# Federal exemptions	1*	3*	Total	12,994	% combined spendable	45.2% 54.8%
Wages + salary	5,027	12,639	Support (Nondeductible)		Total taxes	1,248 3,022
401(k) employee contrib	0	379	CS Payor	Petitioner	Comb. net spendable	12,994
Self-employment income	0	0	Presumed	1,106	Proposed	
Other taxable income	0	0	Basic CS	1,166	Payment (cost)/benefit	2,054 (2,054)
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Add-ons	(60)	Net spendable income	5,876 7,117
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	Presumed Per Kid		NSI change from gdl	0 0
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Child 1	415	% combined spendable	45.2% 54.8%
Ordinary dividends	0	0	Child 2	691	% of saving over gdl	0% 0%
Tax. interest received	0	0	SS Payor	Petitioner	Total taxes	1,248 3,022
Social Security received	0	0	EI Dorado	949	Comb. net spendable	12,994
Unemployment compensation	0	0	Total	2,055	Percent change	0.0%
Operating losses	0	0	Proposed, tactic 9		Default Case Settings	
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0	CS Payor	Petitioner		
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0	Presumed	1,106		
Rental income	0	0	Basic CS	1,166		
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	0	Add-ons	(60)		
Other nontaxable income	43	0	Presumed Per Kid			
New-spouse income	0	0	Child 1	415		
SS paid other marriage	0	0	Child 2	691		
CS paid other relationship	0	0	SS Payor	Petitioner		
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	191	EI Dorado	949		
9.3% elective PTE payment	0	0	Total	2,055		
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0	Savings	0		
Health insurance	0	445	Mother	0		
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0	Father	0		
Itemized deductions	0	0	No releases			
Other medical expenses	0	0				
Property tax expenses	0	0				
Ded. interest expense	0	0				
Charitable contribution	0	0				
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0				
State sales tax paid	0	0				
Required union dues	0	0				
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0				
Mandatory retirement	0	0				
Hardship deduction	0*	0*				
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0				
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0				
Child support add-ons	0	120				

PETITIONER:	Respondent	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Petitioner	

TANF,SSI and CS received 0 0

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

13. ALEXUS WHITE V. CARTER WHITE

PFL20200532

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) on April 26, 2024, requesting enforcement of the court's orders regarding reimbursement of uncovered medical expenses. Proof of Services shows Respondent was mail served on June 16, 2024, with the RFO and a "Responsive Declaration to Request for Order." The court assumes that to be a blank FL-320. The Proof of Service does not indicate if Respondent was served with the Notification of Tentative Ruling.

Petitioner is requesting the court order Respondent to pay one half of the minors' unreimbursed medical expenses pursuant to the prior court orders. Petitioner is seeking reimbursement of \$1,321.90, for Respondent's one-half share.

Respondent has not filed a Responsive Declaration.

The court notes pursuant to the parties' Judgment, "[t]he right to support has been assigned to the Sacramento County Department of Child Support Services, Case #30000000511697..." As such, the court finds Sacramento County Department of Child Support Services to be a party to the case. The court further finds that enforcement of the unreimbursed medical expenses is to be addressed through the child support case. Therefore, as this court does not have jurisdiction to proceed with the RFO, Petitioner's request is denied without prejudice.

The court admonishes Respondent that he is obligated to pay one-half of the unreimbursed medical expenses for the minors, and that failure to do so may result in contempt and or sanctions being imposed.

All prior orders remain in full force and effect. Petitioner shall prepare and file the Findings and Orders After Hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #13: THE COURT FINDS SACRAMENTO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES TO BE A PARTY TO THE CASE. THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT ENFORCEMENT OF THE UNREIMBURSED MEDICAL EXPENSES IS TO BE ADDRESSED THROUGH THE CHILD SUPPORT CASE. THEREFORE, AS THIS COURT DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO PROCEED WITH THE RFO, PETITIONER'S REQUEST IS DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. THE COURT ADMONISHES RESPONDENT THAT HE IS OBLIGATED TO PAY ONE-HALF OF THE UNREIMBURSED MEDICAL EXPENSES FOR THE MINORS, AND THAT FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN CONTEMPT AND OR SANCTIONS BEING IMPOSED. ALL PRIOR ORDERS REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. PETITIONER SHALL PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

14. ALISSA COLBERG V. JOSHUA CLARK

23FL1032

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) on April 25, 2024, requesting the court make child custody and parenting plan orders. The parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) with an appointment on May 23, 2024, and a review hearing on July 18, 2024. Petitioner sought, and was granted, an order to serve Respondent via publication, as his whereabouts are unknown and despite efforts to locate him, Petitioner has been unable to do so. The order granting notice via publication was granted on May 16, 2024. Upon review of the court file, there is no Proof of Posting.

Only Petitioner appeared for the CCRC appointment on May 23, 2024. As such a single parent report was filed with the court on July 3, 2024. A copy was mailed to Petitioner on July 3, 2024.

The court drops the matter from calendar due to the lack of service.

TENTATIVE RULING #14: THE COURT DROPS THE MATTER FROM CALENDAR DUE TO THE LACK OF SERVICE.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

15. CALEB OWENS V. CHRYS DECKERT

PFL20200822

On May 28, 2024, parties appeared for trial on Petitioner's request for a Domestic Violence Restraining Order (DVRO) in case number 24FL0068. The court denied the request for the DVRO and vacated the prior orders, including restoring joint legal and physical custody of the minor. The court ordered Respondent to have weekend parenting time from Friday at 7:00 PM until Sunday at 7:00 PM. The court referred the parties to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) with an appointment on June 11, 2024 and a review hearing on July 18, 2024. The court directed all future filings regarding custody, parenting time, and support be filed in Case Number PFL20200822.

Only Respondent appeared for the CCRC appointment on June 11, 2024, despite Petitioner being present in court when the court ordered parties to attend. As such a single parent report was filed with the court on July 3, 2024. Copies were mailed to the parties on July 5, 2024.

Respondent filed a Declaration with a co-parenting class certificate attached on July 5, 2024. There is no Proof of Service for this document, therefore, the court cannot consider it.

Despite Petitioner's failure to appear at CCRC, the court finds good cause to proceed with making parenting plan orders. The court finds that sufficient time has passed to allow a transition for the minor back to Respondent's care. As such, the court finds that it is in the minor's best interest to restore the former parenting plan of a 2-2-3 schedule. The 2-2-3 schedule shall begin on Friday July 19th with Respondent's parenting time until the Monday exchange on July 22nd. Thereafter, the parties are to resume the weekday rotation as it was prior to the court granting the temporary DVRO in January. Petitioner's first parenting weekend will be from Friday July 26th until the Monday exchange on July 29th. The parties will alternate weekends thereafter.

All prior orders not in conflict with this order remain in full force and effect. Respondent shall prepare and file the Findings and Orders After Hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #15: THE COURT FINDS GOOD CAUSE TO PROCEED WITH MAKING PARENTING PLAN ORDERS. THE COURT FINDS THAT SUFFICIENT TIME HAS PASSED TO ALLOW A TRANSITION FOR THE MINOR BACK TO RESPONDENT'S CARE. AS SUCH, THE COURT FINDS THAT IT IS IN THE MINOR'S BEST INTEREST TO RESTORE THE FORMER PARENTING PLAN OF A 2-2-3 SCHEDULE. THE 2-2-3 SCHEDULE SHALL BEGIN ON FRIDAY JULY 19TH WITH RESPONDENT'S PARENTING TIME UNTIL THE MONDAY EXCHANGE ON JULY 22ND. THEREAFTER THE PARTIES ARE TO RESUME THE WEEKDAY ROTATION AS IT WAS PRIOR TO THE COURT GRANTING THE TEMPORARY DVRO IN

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

JANUARY. PETITIONER'S FIRST PARENTING WEEKEND WILL BE FROM FRIDAY JULY 26TH UNTIL THE MONDAY EXCHANGE ON JULY 29TH. THE PARTIES WILL ALTERNATE WEEKENDS THEREAFTER. ALL PRIOR ORDERS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH THIS ORDER REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. RESPONDENT SHALL PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

16. CANDACE GARCIA V. FRANK GARCIA

24FL0172

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) on April 29, 2024, requesting the court makes orders as to child custody, parenting plan, child support, spousal support, property control, and attorney's fees. The parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) with an appointment on May 30, 2024, and a review hearing on July 18, 2024. Petitioner concurrently filed an Income and Expense Declaration as required. Proof of Service shows Respondent was served by mail on May 1, 2024.

Respondent filed a Responsive Declaration on May 21, 2024. Upon review of the court file, there is no Proof of Service for this document, and therefore, the court cannot consider it. Respondent has not filed an Income and Expense Declaration.

Both parties attended the CCRC appointment on May 30, 2024 and reached a full agreement. The parties submitted a stipulation and order, which the court signed on June 4, 2024. The court affirms the prior orders regarding custody and parenting time.

Parties are ordered to appear on the requests for child and spousal support, attorney's fees, and property control. Respondent is directed to bring a completed FL-150, Income and Expense Declaration with him to the hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #16: PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR ON THE REQUESTS FOR CHILD AND SPOUSAL SUPPORT, ATTORNEY'S FEES, AND PROPERTY CONTROL. RESPONDENT IS DIRECTED TO BRING A COMPLETED FL-150, INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATION WITH HIM TO THE HEARING.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

17. DCSS V. JOSEPH SENTER (OTHER PARENT: KERIANNE PRUITT; CLAIMANT: LYNELLE LANGLOIS) PFS20130105

Claimant filed a Petition for Joinder and Request for Order (RFO) on August 8, 2023, requesting grandparent visitation. Respondent was personally served on September 27, 2023. Claimant asserts she has a preexisting relationship with the minor, and it would be in the minor's best interest to have court ordered visitation. Claimant states she has had no contact with the minor since approximately 2021.

On October 4, 2023, Claimant filed a request to continue the hearing to allow additional time to serve Other Parent. The court granted the request and continued the matter to January 4, 2024.

On December 28, 2023, Claimant again requested the matter be continued to effectuate service on Other Parent. On January 2, 2024, the court granted the request and continued the matter to March 21, 2024.

Claimant appeared for the hearing on March 21, 2024, as the court had not issued a tentative ruling, as the matter had not been added to the March 23rd calendar. The court found Respondent had received personal service, but that Other Parent had not been properly served. Claimant requested the matter be continued to allow additional time to serve Other Parent.

On April 22, 2024, Claimant again filed a Request to Continue the hearing. On April 23, 2024, the court granted the request to continue to allow additional time for service.

Upon review of the court file, there is no Proof of Service showing Other Parent has been properly served. The court is not inclined to grant any further continuances.

Pursuant to Family Code section 3104(c): "The petitioner shall give notice of the petition to each of the parents of the child, any stepparents, and any person who has physical custody of the child, by personal service pursuant to Section 415.10 of the Code of Civil Procedure."

El Dorado County Local Rule 8.02.01 states: "Continuances of motions or orders to show cause in family law matters are disfavored." Additionally, Local Rule 8.02.02 provides: "No more than one continuance at the request of either party shall be allowed except for good cause." The court cannot find good cause to again continue the matter to allow for service. The RFO has been pending for nearly one year.

The court finds Claimant has failed to properly serve the parents in this matter. Therefore, the court drops the matter from calendar due to the lack of proper service.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

TENTATIVE RULING #17: THE MATTER IS DROPPED FROM CALENDAR AS CLAIMANT FAILED TO PROVIDE PROPER NOTICE PURSUANT TO CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 415.10.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

18. KAIAHMI QUASNE V. COLTON SMITH

24FL0404

Petitioner filed a Petition for Custody and Support on April 25, 2024. A Summons was issued the same day. Petitioner concurrently filed a Request for Order (RFO) requesting the court make child custody and parenting plan orders. The parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) with an appointment on May 23, 2024, and a review hearing on July 18, 2024. Upon review of the court file, there is no Proof of Service of the Summons or RFO and other necessary paperwork.

Neither party appeared for the CCRC appointment on May 23rd.

The court drops the matter from calendar due to the lack of service of the Summons as well as the RFO, and for Petitioner's failure to appear for CCRC.

TENTATIVE RULING #18: THE COURT DROPS THE MATTER FROM CALENDAR DUE TO THE LACK OF SERVICE OF THE SUMMONS AS WELL AS THE RFO, AND FOR PETITIONER'S FAILURE TO APPEAR FOR CCRC.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

19. MATTHEW MONTANO V. DANIELLE RUBALCAVA

23FL1254

Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) on April 22, 2024, requesting child custody orders, as well as child support, attorney's fees, a tax claim for the minors, and issues regarding Petitioner's dog. Petitioner concurrently filed an Income and Expense Declaration. Petitioner was personally served on April 24, 2024.

Through an ex parte motion filed by Petitioner on April 29, 2024, the parties were referred to an emergency set Child Custody Recommending Counselling (CCRC) appointment. Both parties attended and reached a full agreement. The parties submitted a stipulation which the court adopted as its order on June 7, 2024. Therefore, the court finds that the child custody issue has been resolved. Additionally, the stipulation addresses the issue of Petitioner's dog, therefore, the court finds that issue has been resolved as well.

Petitioner has not filed a Responsive Declaration. Petitioner filed an Income and Expense Declaration on July 2, 2024, and again on July 10, 2024. Both were served on Respondent. The court notes the two Declarations appear to be identical. Therefore, the court will utilize the July 2, 2024, filed Income and Expense Declaration, as it was timely filed and served.

Utilizing Respondent's April 22, 2024 filed Income and Expense Declaration and Petitioner's July 2, 2024 filed Income and Expense Declaration, with a 50% timeshare to each party, the court finds guideline child support to be \$98 per month (see attached DissoMaster). The court has included in the guideline calculation the cost for childcare as set forth in Petitioner's Income and Expense Declaration. The court notes Respondent has stated in her Income and Expense Declaration that the parties did not meet and confer prior to Petitioner selecting the daycare. The court is ordering Petitioner to pay Respondent \$135 per month as and for child support. This order is effective May 1, 2024. Payments are due on the first of each month beginning August 1, 2024, and the first of each month thereafter.

The court finds this order results in an arrears balance of \$294 for the months of May through July inclusive. The court is ordering Respondent to pay Petitioner \$49 as and for arrears effective August 15, 2024, and payable on the 15th of each month thereafter until paid in full (approximately six months). If there is any late or missed payment, the full amount is due with legal interest.

The court finds both parties routinely earn overtime and bonus pay. Therefore, the court has included two-way overtime and bonus tables. The parties are to reconcile

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

overtime on a monthly basis, no later than the 20th of each month. The parties are to reconcile bonuses on a yearly basis by no later than January 15th each year.

Where a party fails to timely file opposition papers the court, in its discretion, may treat said failure “as an admission that the motion or other application is meritorious.” El Dorado County, Local Rule 7.10.02(C). Here, the RFO was timely served on Petitioner well in advance of the hearing date and yet Petitioner has failed to file a responsive declaration. Therefore, the court is deeming such failure to be an admission by Petitioner that the arguments made in Respondent’s RFO are meritorious.

The court, therefore, grants Respondent’s request to claim the minor for tax years 2024, 2025, and 2026. Thereafter the parties are to alternate claiming the minor. Petitioner shall have odd years, while Respondent shall claim the minor in even years.

As to Respondent’s request for attorney’s fees, the court must conduct an analysis under Family Code section 7640, which is analogous to Family Code section 2030. The public policy of Family Code section 2030 is to provide “at the outset of litigation, consistent with the financial circumstances of the parties, parity between spouses in their ability to obtain effective legal representation.” In Re Marriage of Keech, 75 Cal. App. 4th 860, 866 (1999). This assures each party has access to legal representation to preserve each party’s rights. It “is not the redistribution of money from the greater income party to the lesser income party,” but rather “parity.” Alan S. v Superior Court, 172 Cal. App. 4th 238,251(2009). In the face of a request for attorney’s fees and costs, the court is to make findings on “whether there is a disparity in access to funds to retain counsel, and whether one party is able to pay for legal representation of both parties.” Fam. Code § 2030(a)(2).

Family Code section 2032 works in tandem with Section 2030 to ensure that any award of costs and fees is just and reasonable. Fam. Code § 2032. “In determining what is just and reasonable under the relative circumstances, the court shall take into consideration the need for the award to enable each party, to the extent practical, to have sufficient financial resources to present the party’s case adequately.” *Id.* at (b).

In the matter at hand, there is a disparity in income between the parties which would warrant an award of attorney’s fees. This is true even after the order for child support the court has made today. The court notes Petitioner’s claim that the parties jointly own real property, which Petitioner wishes to sell. Respondent has included the value of the real property in section 11 of her Income and Expense Declaration. Therefore, the court finds Respondent has adequate access to funds which would allow her to have sufficient financial resources to present her case adequately. As such, Respondent’s request for attorney’s fees is denied.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

All prior orders not in conflict with this order remain in full force and effect. Respondent shall prepare and file the Findings and Orders After Hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #19: THE COURT FINDS GUIDELINE CHILD SUPPORT TO BE \$135 PER MONTH (SEE ATTACHED DISSOMASTER). THE COURT HAS INCLUDED IN THE GUIDELINE CALCULATION THE COST FOR CHILDCARE AS SET FORTH IN PETITIONER'S INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATION. THE COURT NOTES RESPONDENT HAS STATED IN HER INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATION THAT THE PARTIES DID NOT MEET AND CONFER PRIOR TO PETITIONER SELECTING THE DAYCARE. THE COURT IS ORDERING PETITIONER TO PAY RESPONDENT \$135 PER MONTH AS AND FOR CHILD SUPPORT. THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE MAY 1, 2024. PAYMENTS ARE DUE ON THE FIRST OF EACH MONTH BEGINNING AUGUST 1, 2024 AND THE FIRST OF EACH MONTH THEREAFTER.

THE COURT FINDS THIS ORDER RESULTS IN AN ARREARS BALANCE OF \$405 FOR THE MONTHS OF MAY THROUGH JULY INCLUSIVE. THE COURT IS ORDERING RESPONDENT TO PAY PETITIONER \$67.50 AS AND FOR ARREARS EFFECTIVE AUGUST 15, 2024 AND PAYABLE ON THE 15TH OF EACH MONTH THEREAFTER UNTIL PAID IN FULL (APPROXIMATELY SIX MONTHS). IF THERE IS ANY LATE OR MISSED PAYMENT, THE FULL AMOUNT IS DUE WITH LEGAL INTEREST.

THE COURT GRANTS RESPONDENT'S REQUEST TO CLAIM THE MINOR FOR TAX YEARS 2024, 2025, AND 2026. THEREAFTER THE PARTIES ARE TO ALTERNATE CLAIMING THE MINOR. PETITIONER SHALL HAVE ODD YEARS, WHILE RESPONDENT SHALL CLAIM THE MINOR IN EVEN YEARS.

RESPONDENT'S REQUEST FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES IS DENIED.

ALL PRIOR ORDERS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH THIS ORDER REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. RESPONDENT SHALL PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS): California ATTORNEY FOR: Petitioner	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
DISSOMASTER REPORT 2024, Monthly		CASE NUMBER:

Input Data	Petitioner	Responden	Guideline (2024)	Cash Flow Analysis	Petitioner	Responden
Number of children	0	1	Nets (adjusted)	Guideline		
% time with Second Parent	50%	0%	Petitioner	8,084	Payment (cost)/benefit	(98) 98
Filing status	Single	HH/MLA	Respondent	5,076	Net spendable income	7,986 5,174
# Federal exemptions	1*	2*	Total	13,160	% combined spendable	60.7% 39.3%
Wages + salary	11,646	6,006	Support		Total taxes	3,279 809
401(k) employee contrib	699	218	CS Payor	Petitioner	Comb. net spendable	13,160
Self-employment income	0	0	Presumed	98	Proposed	
Other taxable income	0	0	Basic CS	408	Payment (cost)/benefit	(98) 98
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Add-ons	(310)	Net spendable income	7,986 5,174
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	Presumed Per Kid		NSI change from gdl	0 0
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Child 1	98	% combined spendable	60.7% 39.3%
Ordinary dividends	0	0	Spousal support	blocked	% of saving over gdl	0% 0%
Tax. interest received	0	0	Total	98	Total taxes	3,279 809
Social Security received	0	0	Proposed, tactic 9		Comb. net spendable	13,160
Unemployment compensation	0	0	CS Payor	Petitioner	Percent change	0.0%
Operating losses	0	0	Presumed	98	Default Case Settings	
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0	Basic CS	408		
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0	Add-ons	(310)		
Rental income	0	0	Presumed Per Kid			
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	0	Child 1	98		
Other nontaxable income	0	0	Spousal support	blocked		
New-spouse income	0	0	Total	98		
SS paid other marriage	0	0	Savings	0		
CS paid other relationship	0	0	Mother	0		
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0	Father	0		
9.3% elective PTE payment	0	0	No releases			
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0				
Health insurance	283	122				
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0				
Itemized deductions	511	0				
Other medical expenses	0	0				
Property tax expenses	511	0				
Ded. interest expense	0	0				
Charitable contribution	0	0				
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0				
State sales tax paid	0	0				
Required union dues	0	0				
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0				
Mandatory retirement	0	0				
Hardship deduction	0*	0				
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0				
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0				
Child support add-ons	619	0				

PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

TANF,SSI and CS received 0 0

ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS): California	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
ATTORNEY FOR: Petitioner		
Two-way Monthly Overtime Wages Report 2024 Monthly		CASE NUMBER:

Change in Child Support

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. Red italic is a cost to Respondent

Respondent's Gross Overtime Wages	Petitioner's Gross Overtime Wages							
	0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700
0	0	7	14	22	29	36	43	50
100	11	4	4	11	18	25	32	39
200	22	15	7	0	7	14	21	29
300	33	25	18	11	4	3	11	18
400	44	36	29	22	15	7	0	7
500	54	47	40	33	25	18	11	4
600	65	58	51	43	36	29	22	14
700	76	68	61	54	47	39	32	25
800	86	79	72	64	57	50	43	35
900	96	89	82	75	67	60	53	46
1,000	107	99	92	85	78	70	63	56
1,100	117	110	102	95	88	81	73	66
1,200	127	120	113	105	98	91	84	76
1,300	137	130	123	115	108	101	94	87
1,400	147	140	133	126	118	111	104	97
1,500	156	149	142	135	127	120	113	106
1,600	165	158	151	143	136	129	121	114
1,700	174	166	159	152	145	137	130	123
1,800	182	175	168	161	153	146	139	132
1,900	191	184	176	169	162	155	147	140
2,000	200	192	185	178	170	163	156	149



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Overtime Wages									
	800	900	1,000	1,100	1,200	1,300	1,400	1,500	
0	57	65	72	79	86	93	100	107	
100	47	54	61	68	75	82	89	96	
200	36	43	50	57	64	71	78	85	
300	25	32	39	46	53	60	67	74	
400	14	21	28	35	43	50	57	64	
500	3	11	18	25	32	39	46	53	
600	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>42</i>	
700	<i>18</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>32</i>	
800	<i>28</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>22</i>	
900	<i>39</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>11</i>	
1,000	<i>49</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>1</i>	
1,100	<i>59</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>9</i>	
1,200	<i>69</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>19</i>	
1,300	<i>79</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>29</i>	
1,400	<i>89</i>	<i>82</i>	<i>75</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>39</i>	
1,500	<i>98</i>	<i>91</i>	<i>84</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>70</i>	<i>63</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>48</i>	
1,600	<i>107</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>78</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>64</i>	<i>57</i>	
1,700	<i>116</i>	<i>108</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>94</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>73</i>	<i>66</i>	
1,800	<i>124</i>	<i>117</i>	<i>110</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>96</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>81</i>	<i>74</i>	
1,900	<i>133</i>	<i>126</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>111</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>97</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>83</i>	
2,000	<i>141</i>	<i>134</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>120</i>	<i>113</i>	<i>106</i>	<i>98</i>	<i>91</i>	



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Overtime Wages					
	1,600	1,700	1,800	1,900	2,000
0	114	121	128	135	142
100	103	110	117	124	131
200	92	99	106	113	120
300	81	88	95	102	109
400	71	78	85	92	99
500	60	67	74	81	88
600	49	56	63	70	77
700	39	46	53	60	67
800	29	36	43	50	57
900	18	25	32	39	46
1,000	8	15	22	29	36
1,100	<i>2</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>26</i>
1,200	<i>12</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>16</i>
1,300	<i>22</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>6</i>
1,400	<i>32</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>4</i>
1,500	<i>41</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>13</i>
1,600	<i>50</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>22</i>
1,700	<i>59</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>30</i>
1,800	<i>67</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>39</i>
1,900	<i>76</i>	<i>69</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>47</i>
2,000	<i>84</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>70</i>	<i>63</i>	<i>56</i>



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Overtime Wages	Petitioner's Gross Overtime Wages								
	0	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	
0	408	415	422	430	437	444	451	458	
100	397	404	411	419	426	433	440	447	
200	386	393	401	408	415	422	429	437	
300	375	382	390	397	404	411	419	426	
400	364	372	379	386	393	401	408	415	
500	354	361	368	375	383	390	397	404	
600	343	350	357	365	372	379	386	393	
700	332	339	347	354	361	368	376	383	
800	322	329	336	344	351	358	365	373	
900	312	319	326	333	341	348	355	362	
1,000	301	309	316	323	330	338	345	352	
1,100	291	298	306	313	320	327	335	342	
1,200	281	288	295	303	310	317	324	332	
1,300	271	278	285	292	300	307	314	321	
1,400	260	268	275	282	290	297	304	311	
1,500	251	259	266	273	281	288	295	302	
1,600	243	250	257	265	272	279	286	294	
1,700	234	241	249	256	263	271	278	285	
1,800	225	233	240	247	255	262	269	276	
1,900	217	224	232	239	246	253	261	268	
2,000	208	216	223	230	238	245	252	259	



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Overtime Wages									
	800	900	1,000	1,100	1,200	1,300	1,400	1,500	1,500
0	465	473	480	487	494	501	508	515	515
100	455	462	469	476	483	490	497	504	504
200	444	451	458	465	472	479	486	493	493
300	433	440	447	454	461	468	475	482	482
400	422	429	436	443	451	458	465	472	472
500	411	418	426	433	440	447	454	461	461
600	401	408	415	422	429	436	443	450	450
700	390	397	404	411	419	426	433	440	440
800	380	387	394	401	408	415	422	429	429
900	369	377	384	391	398	405	412	419	419
1,000	359	366	373	381	388	395	402	409	409
1,100	349	356	363	370	378	385	392	399	399
1,200	339	346	353	360	367	374	382	389	389
1,300	329	336	343	350	357	364	371	379	379
1,400	319	326	333	340	347	354	361	368	368
1,500	310	317	324	331	338	345	352	360	360
1,600	301	308	315	322	330	337	344	351	351
1,700	292	299	307	314	321	328	335	342	342
1,800	284	291	298	305	312	319	327	334	334
1,900	275	282	289	297	304	311	318	325	325
2,000	267	274	281	288	295	302	310	317	317



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Overtime Wages					
	1,600	1,700	1,800	1,900	2,000
0	522	529	536	543	550
100	511	518	525	532	539
200	500	507	514	521	528
300	489	496	503	510	517
400	479	486	493	500	507
500	468	475	482	489	496
600	457	464	471	478	485
700	447	454	461	468	475
800	437	444	451	458	465
900	426	433	440	447	454
1,000	416	423	430	437	444
1,100	406	413	420	427	434
1,200	396	403	410	417	424
1,300	386	393	400	407	414
1,400	376	383	390	397	404
1,500	367	374	381	388	395
1,600	358	365	372	379	386
1,700	349	356	364	371	378
1,800	341	348	355	362	369
1,900	332	339	346	353	360
2,000	324	331	338	345	352



ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS): California	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
ATTORNEY FOR: Petitioner	Two-way Annual Bonus Wages Report 2024 Yearly	
		CASE NUMBER:

Change in Child Support

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. Red italic is a cost to Respondent

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages	Petitioner's Gross Bonus Wages							
	500	1,000	1,500	2,000	2,500	3,000	3,500	4,000
0	36	72	109	145	181	217	253	289
100	25	61	98	134	170	206	242	278
200	14	51	87	123	159	195	231	267
300	3	40	76	112	148	184	220	256
400	8	29	65	101	137	173	209	245
500	19	18	54	90	126	162	198	234
600	30	7	43	79	115	151	187	223
700	41	4	32	68	104	140	176	212
800	52	15	21	57	93	129	165	201
900	62	26	10	46	82	118	154	190
1,000	73	37	1	35	71	107	143	180
1,100	84	48	12	24	60	97	133	169
1,200	95	59	23	13	49	86	122	158
1,300	106	70	34	2	39	75	111	147
1,400	117	81	45	9	28	64	100	136
1,500	128	92	56	19	17	53	89	125
1,600	139	103	67	30	6	42	78	114
1,700	150	114	77	41	5	31	67	103
1,800	161	125	88	52	16	20	56	92
1,900	172	135	99	63	27	9	45	81
2,000	183	146	110	74	38	2	34	70



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages									
	4,500	5,000	5,500	6,000	6,500	7,000	7,500	8,000	
0	325	361	397	433	468	504	540	576	
100	314	350	386	422	457	493	529	565	
200	303	339	375	411	447	482	518	554	
300	292	328	364	400	436	471	507	543	
400	281	317	353	389	425	460	496	532	
500	270	306	342	378	414	449	485	521	
600	259	295	331	367	403	439	474	510	
700	248	284	320	356	392	428	463	499	
800	237	273	309	345	381	417	452	488	
900	226	262	298	334	370	406	442	477	
1,000	216	251	287	323	359	395	431	466	
1,100	205	241	276	312	348	384	420	456	
1,200	194	230	266	301	337	373	409	445	
1,300	183	219	255	291	326	362	398	434	
1,400	172	208	244	280	315	351	387	423	
1,500	161	197	233	269	305	340	376	412	
1,600	150	186	222	258	294	330	365	401	
1,700	139	175	211	247	283	319	354	390	
1,800	128	164	200	236	272	308	344	379	
1,900	117	153	189	225	261	297	333	368	
2,000	106	142	178	214	250	286	322	358	



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages								
	8,500	9,000	9,500	10,000	10,500	11,000	11,500	12,000
0	611	647	683	718	754	789	825	860
100	600	636	672	707	743	778	814	849
200	589	625	661	696	732	767	803	838
300	579	614	650	685	721	756	792	827
400	568	603	639	674	710	746	781	816
500	557	592	628	664	699	735	770	806
600	546	581	617	653	688	724	759	795
700	535	571	606	642	677	713	748	784
800	524	560	595	631	666	702	737	773
900	513	549	584	620	656	691	727	762
1,000	502	538	573	609	645	680	716	751
1,100	491	527	563	598	634	669	705	740
1,200	480	516	552	587	623	658	694	729
1,300	469	505	541	576	612	648	683	719
1,400	459	494	530	566	601	637	672	708
1,500	448	483	519	555	590	626	661	697
1,600	437	472	508	544	579	615	650	686
1,700	426	462	497	533	568	604	640	675
1,800	415	451	486	522	558	593	629	664
1,900	404	440	476	511	547	582	618	653
2,000	393	429	465	500	536	571	607	643



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages									
	12,500	13,000	13,500	14,000	14,500	15,000	15,500	16,000	
0	896	931	966	1,002	1,037	1,072	1,107	1,142	
100	885	920	955	991	1,026	1,061	1,096	1,132	
200	874	909	944	980	1,015	1,050	1,086	1,121	
300	863	898	934	969	1,004	1,039	1,075	1,110	
400	852	887	923	958	993	1,029	1,064	1,099	
500	841	876	912	947	982	1,018	1,053	1,088	
600	830	866	901	936	971	1,007	1,042	1,077	
700	819	855	890	925	961	996	1,031	1,066	
800	808	844	879	914	950	985	1,020	1,055	
900	797	833	868	904	939	974	1,009	1,045	
1,000	787	822	857	893	928	963	999	1,034	
1,100	776	811	846	882	917	952	988	1,023	
1,200	765	800	836	871	906	942	977	1,012	
1,300	754	789	825	860	895	931	966	1,001	
1,400	743	779	814	849	885	920	955	990	
1,500	732	768	803	838	874	909	944	980	
1,600	721	757	792	828	863	898	933	969	
1,700	711	746	781	817	852	887	923	958	
1,800	700	735	771	806	841	877	912	947	
1,900	689	724	760	795	830	866	901	936	
2,000	678	713	749	784	820	855	890	925	



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages									
	16,500	17,000	17,500	18,000	18,500	19,000	19,500	20,000	
0	1,178	1,213	1,248	1,283	1,318	1,353	1,388	1,423	
100	1,167	1,202	1,237	1,272	1,307	1,342	1,377	1,412	
200	1,156	1,191	1,226	1,261	1,296	1,331	1,366	1,401	
300	1,145	1,180	1,215	1,250	1,285	1,320	1,355	1,390	
400	1,134	1,169	1,204	1,239	1,274	1,309	1,344	1,379	
500	1,123	1,158	1,193	1,229	1,264	1,299	1,334	1,369	
600	1,112	1,148	1,183	1,218	1,253	1,288	1,323	1,358	
700	1,102	1,137	1,172	1,207	1,242	1,277	1,312	1,347	
800	1,091	1,126	1,161	1,196	1,231	1,266	1,301	1,336	
900	1,080	1,115	1,150	1,185	1,220	1,255	1,290	1,325	
1,000	1,069	1,104	1,139	1,174	1,209	1,244	1,279	1,314	
1,100	1,058	1,093	1,128	1,163	1,199	1,234	1,269	1,304	
1,200	1,047	1,082	1,118	1,153	1,188	1,223	1,258	1,293	
1,300	1,036	1,072	1,107	1,142	1,177	1,212	1,247	1,282	
1,400	1,026	1,061	1,096	1,131	1,166	1,201	1,236	1,271	
1,500	1,015	1,050	1,085	1,120	1,155	1,190	1,225	1,260	
1,600	1,004	1,039	1,074	1,109	1,144	1,179	1,214	1,249	
1,700	993	1,028	1,063	1,098	1,134	1,169	1,204	1,239	
1,800	982	1,017	1,053	1,088	1,123	1,158	1,193	1,228	
1,900	971	1,007	1,042	1,077	1,112	1,147	1,182	1,217	
2,000	961	996	1,031	1,066	1,101	1,136	1,171	1,206	



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages									
	20,500	21,000	21,500	22,000	22,500	23,000	23,500	24,000	
0	1,458	1,493	1,527	1,562	1,597	1,632	1,667	1,701	
100	1,447	1,482	1,517	1,551	1,586	1,621	1,656	1,690	
200	1,436	1,471	1,506	1,541	1,575	1,610	1,645	1,680	
300	1,425	1,460	1,495	1,530	1,565	1,599	1,634	1,669	
400	1,414	1,449	1,484	1,519	1,554	1,588	1,623	1,658	
500	1,403	1,438	1,473	1,508	1,543	1,578	1,612	1,647	
600	1,393	1,428	1,462	1,497	1,532	1,567	1,602	1,636	
700	1,382	1,417	1,452	1,486	1,521	1,556	1,591	1,625	
800	1,371	1,406	1,441	1,476	1,510	1,545	1,580	1,615	
900	1,360	1,395	1,430	1,465	1,500	1,534	1,569	1,604	
1,000	1,349	1,384	1,419	1,454	1,489	1,524	1,558	1,593	
1,100	1,338	1,373	1,408	1,443	1,478	1,513	1,547	1,582	
1,200	1,328	1,363	1,397	1,432	1,467	1,502	1,537	1,571	
1,300	1,317	1,352	1,387	1,421	1,456	1,491	1,526	1,561	
1,400	1,306	1,341	1,376	1,411	1,445	1,480	1,515	1,550	
1,500	1,295	1,330	1,365	1,400	1,435	1,469	1,504	1,539	
1,600	1,284	1,319	1,354	1,389	1,424	1,459	1,493	1,528	
1,700	1,274	1,308	1,343	1,378	1,413	1,448	1,483	1,517	
1,800	1,263	1,298	1,333	1,367	1,402	1,437	1,472	1,507	
1,900	1,252	1,287	1,322	1,357	1,392	1,426	1,461	1,496	
2,000	1,241	1,276	1,311	1,346	1,381	1,416	1,450	1,485	

PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages								
	24,500	25,000	25,500	26,000	26,500	27,000	27,500	28,000
0	1,736	1,771	1,805	1,840	1,874	1,909	1,943	1,978
100	1,725	1,760	1,794	1,829	1,864	1,898	1,933	1,967
200	1,714	1,749	1,784	1,818	1,853	1,887	1,922	1,956
300	1,703	1,738	1,773	1,807	1,842	1,876	1,911	1,945
400	1,693	1,727	1,762	1,796	1,831	1,866	1,900	1,935
500	1,682	1,716	1,751	1,786	1,820	1,855	1,889	1,924
600	1,671	1,706	1,740	1,775	1,809	1,844	1,878	1,913
700	1,660	1,695	1,729	1,764	1,799	1,833	1,868	1,902
800	1,649	1,684	1,719	1,753	1,788	1,822	1,857	1,891
900	1,639	1,673	1,708	1,742	1,777	1,812	1,846	1,881
1,000	1,628	1,662	1,697	1,732	1,766	1,801	1,835	1,870
1,100	1,617	1,652	1,686	1,721	1,755	1,790	1,825	1,859
1,200	1,606	1,641	1,675	1,710	1,745	1,779	1,814	1,848
1,300	1,595	1,630	1,665	1,699	1,734	1,768	1,803	1,837
1,400	1,585	1,619	1,654	1,688	1,723	1,758	1,792	1,827
1,500	1,574	1,608	1,643	1,678	1,712	1,747	1,781	1,816
1,600	1,563	1,598	1,632	1,667	1,702	1,736	1,771	1,805
1,700	1,552	1,587	1,622	1,656	1,691	1,725	1,760	1,794
1,800	1,541	1,576	1,611	1,645	1,680	1,715	1,749	1,784
1,900	1,531	1,565	1,600	1,635	1,669	1,704	1,738	1,773
2,000	1,520	1,555	1,589	1,624	1,658	1,693	1,728	1,762



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages								
	28,500	29,000	29,500	30,000	30,500	31,000	31,500	32,000
0	2,012	2,047	2,081	2,115	2,150	2,184	2,218	2,253
100	2,001	2,036	2,070	2,105	2,139	2,173	2,208	2,242
200	1,991	2,025	2,059	2,094	2,128	2,162	2,197	2,231
300	1,980	2,014	2,049	2,083	2,117	2,152	2,186	2,220
400	1,969	2,003	2,038	2,072	2,107	2,141	2,175	2,209
500	1,958	1,993	2,027	2,061	2,096	2,130	2,164	2,199
600	1,947	1,982	2,016	2,051	2,085	2,119	2,154	2,188
700	1,937	1,971	2,005	2,040	2,074	2,109	2,143	2,177
800	1,926	1,960	1,995	2,029	2,063	2,098	2,132	2,166
900	1,915	1,950	1,984	2,018	2,053	2,087	2,121	2,156
1,000	1,904	1,939	1,973	2,008	2,042	2,076	2,111	2,145
1,100	1,894	1,928	1,962	1,997	2,031	2,065	2,100	2,134
1,200	1,883	1,917	1,952	1,986	2,020	2,055	2,089	2,123
1,300	1,872	1,906	1,941	1,975	2,010	2,044	2,078	2,113
1,400	1,861	1,896	1,930	1,964	1,999	2,033	2,068	2,102
1,500	1,850	1,885	1,919	1,954	1,988	2,022	2,057	2,091
1,600	1,840	1,874	1,909	1,943	1,977	2,012	2,046	2,080
1,700	1,829	1,863	1,898	1,932	1,967	2,001	2,035	2,070
1,800	1,818	1,853	1,887	1,921	1,956	1,990	2,025	2,059
1,900	1,807	1,842	1,876	1,911	1,945	1,979	2,014	2,048
2,000	1,797	1,831	1,866	1,900	1,934	1,969	2,003	2,037

PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages								
	32,500	33,000	33,500	34,000	34,500	35,000	35,500	36,000
0	2,289	2,327	2,364	2,402	2,440	2,478	2,515	2,553
100	2,278	2,316	2,354	2,391	2,429	2,467	2,505	2,542
200	2,267	2,305	2,343	2,381	2,418	2,456	2,494	2,531
300	2,256	2,294	2,332	2,370	2,408	2,445	2,483	2,521
400	2,246	2,283	2,321	2,359	2,397	2,435	2,472	2,510
500	2,235	2,273	2,310	2,348	2,386	2,424	2,462	2,499
600	2,224	2,262	2,300	2,338	2,375	2,413	2,451	2,488
700	2,213	2,251	2,289	2,327	2,365	2,402	2,440	2,478
800	2,202	2,240	2,278	2,316	2,354	2,392	2,429	2,467
900	2,192	2,230	2,267	2,305	2,343	2,381	2,419	2,456
1,000	2,181	2,219	2,257	2,295	2,332	2,370	2,408	2,446
1,100	2,170	2,208	2,246	2,284	2,322	2,359	2,397	2,435
1,200	2,159	2,197	2,235	2,273	2,311	2,349	2,386	2,424
1,300	2,149	2,187	2,224	2,262	2,300	2,338	2,376	2,413
1,400	2,138	2,176	2,214	2,252	2,289	2,327	2,365	2,403
1,500	2,127	2,165	2,203	2,241	2,279	2,316	2,354	2,392
1,600	2,116	2,154	2,192	2,230	2,268	2,306	2,343	2,381
1,700	2,106	2,144	2,182	2,219	2,257	2,295	2,333	2,370
1,800	2,095	2,133	2,171	2,209	2,246	2,284	2,322	2,360
1,900	2,084	2,122	2,160	2,198	2,236	2,274	2,311	2,349
2,000	2,074	2,111	2,149	2,187	2,225	2,263	2,301	2,338



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages									
	36,500	37,000	37,500	38,000	38,500	39,000	39,500	40,000	
0	2,591	2,628	2,666	2,703	2,741	2,778	2,816	2,853	
100	2,580	2,617	2,655	2,693	2,730	2,768	2,805	2,842	
200	2,569	2,607	2,644	2,682	2,719	2,757	2,794	2,832	
300	2,558	2,596	2,634	2,671	2,709	2,746	2,784	2,821	
400	2,548	2,585	2,623	2,660	2,698	2,735	2,773	2,810	
500	2,537	2,574	2,612	2,650	2,687	2,725	2,762	2,800	
600	2,526	2,564	2,601	2,639	2,676	2,714	2,751	2,789	
700	2,515	2,553	2,591	2,628	2,666	2,703	2,741	2,778	
800	2,505	2,542	2,580	2,617	2,655	2,692	2,730	2,767	
900	2,494	2,532	2,569	2,607	2,644	2,682	2,719	2,757	
1,000	2,483	2,521	2,558	2,596	2,634	2,671	2,709	2,746	
1,100	2,472	2,510	2,548	2,585	2,623	2,660	2,698	2,735	
1,200	2,462	2,499	2,537	2,575	2,612	2,650	2,687	2,725	
1,300	2,451	2,489	2,526	2,564	2,601	2,639	2,676	2,714	
1,400	2,440	2,478	2,516	2,553	2,591	2,628	2,666	2,703	
1,500	2,430	2,467	2,505	2,542	2,580	2,618	2,655	2,692	
1,600	2,419	2,457	2,494	2,532	2,569	2,607	2,644	2,682	
1,700	2,408	2,446	2,483	2,521	2,559	2,596	2,634	2,671	
1,800	2,397	2,435	2,473	2,510	2,548	2,585	2,623	2,660	
1,900	2,387	2,424	2,462	2,500	2,537	2,575	2,612	2,650	
2,000	2,376	2,414	2,451	2,489	2,527	2,564	2,602	2,639	



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages									
	40,500	41,000	41,500	42,000	42,500	43,000	43,500	44,000	
0	2,891	2,928	2,965	3,003	3,040	3,077	3,114	3,151	
100	2,880	2,917	2,955	2,992	3,029	3,066	3,104	3,141	
200	2,869	2,906	2,944	2,981	3,018	3,056	3,093	3,130	
300	2,858	2,896	2,933	2,970	3,008	3,045	3,082	3,119	
400	2,848	2,885	2,922	2,960	2,997	3,034	3,071	3,109	
500	2,837	2,874	2,912	2,949	2,986	3,023	3,061	3,098	
600	2,826	2,864	2,901	2,938	2,976	3,013	3,050	3,087	
700	2,815	2,853	2,890	2,928	2,965	3,002	3,039	3,077	
800	2,805	2,842	2,880	2,917	2,954	2,991	3,029	3,066	
900	2,794	2,831	2,869	2,906	2,943	2,981	3,018	3,055	
1,000	2,783	2,821	2,858	2,895	2,933	2,970	3,007	3,044	
1,100	2,773	2,810	2,847	2,885	2,922	2,959	2,997	3,034	
1,200	2,762	2,799	2,837	2,874	2,911	2,949	2,986	3,023	
1,300	2,751	2,789	2,826	2,863	2,901	2,938	2,975	3,012	
1,400	2,741	2,778	2,815	2,853	2,890	2,927	2,965	3,002	
1,500	2,730	2,767	2,805	2,842	2,879	2,917	2,954	2,991	
1,600	2,719	2,757	2,794	2,831	2,869	2,906	2,943	2,980	
1,700	2,709	2,746	2,783	2,821	2,858	2,895	2,933	2,970	
1,800	2,698	2,735	2,773	2,810	2,847	2,885	2,922	2,959	
1,900	2,687	2,725	2,762	2,799	2,837	2,874	2,911	2,949	
2,000	2,677	2,714	2,751	2,789	2,826	2,863	2,901	2,938	



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages								
	44,500	45,000	45,500	46,000	46,500	47,000	47,500	48,000
0	3,189	3,226	3,263	3,300	3,337	3,374	3,411	3,448
100	3,178	3,215	3,252	3,289	3,326	3,363	3,400	3,437
200	3,167	3,204	3,241	3,278	3,316	3,353	3,390	3,426
300	3,156	3,194	3,231	3,268	3,305	3,342	3,379	3,416
400	3,146	3,183	3,220	3,257	3,294	3,331	3,368	3,405
500	3,135	3,172	3,209	3,246	3,283	3,320	3,357	3,394
600	3,124	3,162	3,199	3,236	3,273	3,310	3,347	3,384
700	3,114	3,151	3,188	3,225	3,262	3,299	3,336	3,373
800	3,103	3,140	3,177	3,214	3,251	3,288	3,325	3,362
900	3,092	3,129	3,167	3,204	3,241	3,278	3,315	3,352
1,000	3,082	3,119	3,156	3,193	3,230	3,267	3,304	3,341
1,100	3,071	3,108	3,145	3,182	3,219	3,256	3,294	3,331
1,200	3,060	3,097	3,135	3,172	3,209	3,246	3,283	3,320
1,300	3,050	3,087	3,124	3,161	3,198	3,235	3,272	3,309
1,400	3,039	3,076	3,113	3,150	3,187	3,225	3,262	3,299
1,500	3,028	3,065	3,103	3,140	3,177	3,214	3,251	3,288
1,600	3,018	3,055	3,092	3,129	3,166	3,203	3,240	3,277
1,700	3,007	3,044	3,081	3,118	3,156	3,193	3,230	3,267
1,800	2,996	3,034	3,071	3,108	3,145	3,182	3,219	3,256
1,900	2,986	3,023	3,060	3,097	3,134	3,171	3,208	3,245
2,000	2,975	3,012	3,049	3,087	3,124	3,161	3,198	3,235



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Change in Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages				
	48,500	49,000	49,500	50,000
0	3,485	3,522	3,559	3,595
100	3,474	3,511	3,548	3,585
200	3,463	3,500	3,537	3,574
300	3,453	3,490	3,527	3,563
400	3,442	3,479	3,516	3,553
500	3,431	3,468	3,505	3,542
600	3,421	3,458	3,495	3,531
700	3,410	3,447	3,484	3,521
800	3,399	3,436	3,473	3,510
900	3,389	3,426	3,463	3,499
1,000	3,378	3,415	3,452	3,489
1,100	3,367	3,404	3,441	3,478
1,200	3,357	3,394	3,431	3,468
1,300	3,346	3,383	3,420	3,457
1,400	3,336	3,372	3,409	3,446
1,500	3,325	3,362	3,399	3,436
1,600	3,314	3,351	3,388	3,425
1,700	3,304	3,341	3,378	3,414
1,800	3,293	3,330	3,367	3,404
1,900	3,282	3,319	3,356	3,393
2,000	3,272	3,309	3,346	3,383

PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages	Petitioner's Gross Bonus Wages							
	500	1,000	1,500	2,000	2,500	3,000	3,500	4,000
0	4,932	4,968	5,004	5,040	5,076	5,112	5,148	5,184
100	4,921	4,957	4,993	5,029	5,065	5,101	5,137	5,173
200	4,910	4,946	4,982	5,018	5,054	5,090	5,126	5,162
300	4,899	4,935	4,971	5,007	5,043	5,079	5,115	5,151
400	4,888	4,924	4,960	4,996	5,032	5,068	5,104	5,140
500	4,877	4,913	4,949	4,985	5,021	5,057	5,094	5,130
600	4,866	4,902	4,938	4,974	5,010	5,047	5,083	5,119
700	4,855	4,891	4,927	4,963	5,000	5,036	5,072	5,108
800	4,844	4,880	4,916	4,952	4,989	5,025	5,061	5,097
900	4,833	4,869	4,905	4,941	4,978	5,014	5,050	5,086
1,000	4,822	4,858	4,894	4,931	4,967	5,003	5,039	5,075
1,100	4,811	4,847	4,883	4,920	4,956	4,992	5,028	5,064
1,200	4,800	4,836	4,873	4,909	4,945	4,981	5,017	5,053
1,300	4,789	4,825	4,862	4,898	4,934	4,970	5,006	5,042
1,400	4,778	4,814	4,851	4,887	4,923	4,959	4,995	5,031
1,500	4,767	4,804	4,840	4,876	4,912	4,948	4,984	5,020
1,600	4,756	4,793	4,829	4,865	4,901	4,937	4,973	5,009
1,700	4,745	4,782	4,818	4,854	4,890	4,926	4,962	4,998
1,800	4,735	4,771	4,807	4,843	4,879	4,915	4,952	4,988
1,900	4,724	4,760	4,796	4,832	4,868	4,905	4,941	4,977
2,000	4,713	4,749	4,785	4,821	4,858	4,894	4,930	4,966



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages									
	4,500	5,000	5,500	6,000	6,500	7,000	7,500	8,000	
0	5,220	5,256	5,292	5,328	5,364	5,400	5,435	5,471	
100	5,209	5,245	5,281	5,317	5,353	5,389	5,424	5,460	
200	5,198	5,234	5,270	5,306	5,342	5,378	5,413	5,449	
300	5,187	5,223	5,259	5,295	5,331	5,367	5,402	5,438	
400	5,176	5,212	5,248	5,284	5,320	5,356	5,392	5,427	
500	5,166	5,201	5,237	5,273	5,309	5,345	5,381	5,416	
600	5,155	5,191	5,226	5,262	5,298	5,334	5,370	5,405	
700	5,144	5,180	5,215	5,251	5,287	5,323	5,359	5,394	
800	5,133	5,169	5,205	5,240	5,276	5,312	5,348	5,384	
900	5,122	5,158	5,194	5,230	5,265	5,301	5,337	5,373	
1,000	5,111	5,147	5,183	5,219	5,254	5,290	5,326	5,362	
1,100	5,100	5,136	5,172	5,208	5,244	5,279	5,315	5,351	
1,200	5,089	5,125	5,161	5,197	5,233	5,268	5,304	5,340	
1,300	5,078	5,114	5,150	5,186	5,222	5,258	5,293	5,329	
1,400	5,067	5,103	5,139	5,175	5,211	5,247	5,282	5,318	
1,500	5,056	5,092	5,128	5,164	5,200	5,236	5,272	5,307	
1,600	5,045	5,081	5,117	5,153	5,189	5,225	5,261	5,296	
1,700	5,034	5,070	5,106	5,142	5,178	5,214	5,250	5,286	
1,800	5,024	5,060	5,095	5,131	5,167	5,203	5,239	5,275	
1,900	5,013	5,049	5,085	5,121	5,156	5,192	5,228	5,264	
2,000	5,002	5,038	5,074	5,110	5,146	5,181	5,217	5,253	



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages									
	8,500	9,000	9,500	10,000	10,500	11,000	11,500	12,000	
0	<i>5,507</i>	<i>5,542</i>	<i>5,578</i>	<i>5,613</i>	<i>5,649</i>	<i>5,685</i>	<i>5,720</i>	<i>5,755</i>	
100	<i>5,496</i>	<i>5,531</i>	<i>5,567</i>	<i>5,603</i>	<i>5,638</i>	<i>5,674</i>	<i>5,709</i>	<i>5,745</i>	
200	<i>5,485</i>	<i>5,520</i>	<i>5,556</i>	<i>5,592</i>	<i>5,627</i>	<i>5,663</i>	<i>5,698</i>	<i>5,734</i>	
300	<i>5,474</i>	<i>5,510</i>	<i>5,545</i>	<i>5,581</i>	<i>5,616</i>	<i>5,652</i>	<i>5,687</i>	<i>5,723</i>	
400	<i>5,463</i>	<i>5,499</i>	<i>5,534</i>	<i>5,570</i>	<i>5,605</i>	<i>5,641</i>	<i>5,676</i>	<i>5,712</i>	
500	<i>5,452</i>	<i>5,488</i>	<i>5,523</i>	<i>5,559</i>	<i>5,594</i>	<i>5,630</i>	<i>5,665</i>	<i>5,701</i>	
600	<i>5,441</i>	<i>5,477</i>	<i>5,512</i>	<i>5,548</i>	<i>5,584</i>	<i>5,619</i>	<i>5,655</i>	<i>5,690</i>	
700	<i>5,430</i>	<i>5,466</i>	<i>5,501</i>	<i>5,537</i>	<i>5,573</i>	<i>5,608</i>	<i>5,644</i>	<i>5,679</i>	
800	<i>5,419</i>	<i>5,455</i>	<i>5,491</i>	<i>5,526</i>	<i>5,562</i>	<i>5,597</i>	<i>5,633</i>	<i>5,668</i>	
900	<i>5,408</i>	<i>5,444</i>	<i>5,480</i>	<i>5,515</i>	<i>5,551</i>	<i>5,586</i>	<i>5,622</i>	<i>5,657</i>	
1,000	<i>5,397</i>	<i>5,433</i>	<i>5,469</i>	<i>5,504</i>	<i>5,540</i>	<i>5,575</i>	<i>5,611</i>	<i>5,646</i>	
1,100	<i>5,387</i>	<i>5,422</i>	<i>5,458</i>	<i>5,493</i>	<i>5,529</i>	<i>5,565</i>	<i>5,600</i>	<i>5,636</i>	
1,200	<i>5,376</i>	<i>5,411</i>	<i>5,447</i>	<i>5,483</i>	<i>5,518</i>	<i>5,554</i>	<i>5,589</i>	<i>5,625</i>	
1,300	<i>5,365</i>	<i>5,400</i>	<i>5,436</i>	<i>5,472</i>	<i>5,507</i>	<i>5,543</i>	<i>5,578</i>	<i>5,614</i>	
1,400	<i>5,354</i>	<i>5,390</i>	<i>5,425</i>	<i>5,461</i>	<i>5,496</i>	<i>5,532</i>	<i>5,568</i>	<i>5,603</i>	
1,500	<i>5,343</i>	<i>5,379</i>	<i>5,414</i>	<i>5,450</i>	<i>5,486</i>	<i>5,521</i>	<i>5,557</i>	<i>5,592</i>	
1,600	<i>5,332</i>	<i>5,368</i>	<i>5,403</i>	<i>5,439</i>	<i>5,475</i>	<i>5,510</i>	<i>5,546</i>	<i>5,581</i>	
1,700	<i>5,321</i>	<i>5,357</i>	<i>5,393</i>	<i>5,428</i>	<i>5,464</i>	<i>5,499</i>	<i>5,535</i>	<i>5,570</i>	
1,800	<i>5,310</i>	<i>5,346</i>	<i>5,382</i>	<i>5,417</i>	<i>5,453</i>	<i>5,489</i>	<i>5,524</i>	<i>5,560</i>	
1,900	<i>5,300</i>	<i>5,335</i>	<i>5,371</i>	<i>5,407</i>	<i>5,442</i>	<i>5,478</i>	<i>5,513</i>	<i>5,549</i>	
2,000	<i>5,289</i>	<i>5,324</i>	<i>5,360</i>	<i>5,396</i>	<i>5,431</i>	<i>5,467</i>	<i>5,502</i>	<i>5,538</i>	



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages	12,500	13,000	13,500	14,000	14,500	15,000	15,500	16,000
	0	5,791	5,826	5,862	5,897	5,932	5,967	6,003
100	5,780	5,815	5,851	5,886	5,921	5,957	5,992	6,027
200	5,769	5,804	5,840	5,875	5,910	5,946	5,981	6,016
300	5,758	5,794	5,829	5,864	5,899	5,935	5,970	6,005
400	5,747	5,783	5,818	5,853	5,889	5,924	5,959	5,994
500	5,736	5,772	5,807	5,842	5,878	5,913	5,948	5,983
600	5,725	5,761	5,796	5,832	5,867	5,902	5,937	5,973
700	5,715	5,750	5,785	5,821	5,856	5,891	5,926	5,962
800	5,704	5,739	5,774	5,810	5,845	5,880	5,916	5,951
900	5,693	5,728	5,764	5,799	5,834	5,869	5,905	5,940
1,000	5,682	5,717	5,753	5,788	5,823	5,859	5,894	5,929
1,100	5,671	5,706	5,742	5,777	5,812	5,848	5,883	5,918
1,200	5,660	5,696	5,731	5,766	5,802	5,837	5,872	5,907
1,300	5,649	5,685	5,720	5,755	5,791	5,826	5,861	5,897
1,400	5,638	5,674	5,709	5,745	5,780	5,815	5,850	5,886
1,500	5,628	5,663	5,698	5,734	5,769	5,804	5,840	5,875
1,600	5,617	5,652	5,688	5,723	5,758	5,794	5,829	5,864
1,700	5,606	5,641	5,677	5,712	5,747	5,783	5,818	5,853
1,800	5,595	5,630	5,666	5,701	5,737	5,772	5,807	5,842
1,900	5,584	5,620	5,655	5,690	5,726	5,761	5,796	5,832
2,000	5,573	5,609	5,644	5,680	5,715	5,750	5,785	5,821

PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages	16,500	17,000	17,500	18,000	18,500	19,000	19,500	20,000
	0	6,073	6,108	6,143	6,178	6,213	6,248	6,283
100	6,062	6,097	6,132	6,167	6,202	6,237	6,272	6,307
200	6,051	6,086	6,121	6,157	6,192	6,227	6,262	6,296
300	6,040	6,075	6,111	6,146	6,181	6,216	6,251	6,286
400	6,029	6,065	6,100	6,135	6,170	6,205	6,240	6,275
500	6,019	6,054	6,089	6,124	6,159	6,194	6,229	6,264
600	6,008	6,043	6,078	6,113	6,148	6,183	6,218	6,253
700	5,997	6,032	6,067	6,102	6,137	6,172	6,207	6,242
800	5,986	6,021	6,056	6,091	6,126	6,161	6,196	6,231
900	5,975	6,010	6,045	6,080	6,116	6,151	6,186	6,221
1,000	5,964	5,999	6,035	6,070	6,105	6,140	6,175	6,210
1,100	5,953	5,989	6,024	6,059	6,094	6,129	6,164	6,199
1,200	5,943	5,978	6,013	6,048	6,083	6,118	6,153	6,188
1,300	5,932	5,967	6,002	6,037	6,072	6,107	6,142	6,177
1,400	5,921	5,956	5,991	6,026	6,061	6,096	6,131	6,166
1,500	5,910	5,945	5,980	6,015	6,051	6,086	6,121	6,156
1,600	5,899	5,934	5,970	6,005	6,040	6,075	6,110	6,145
1,700	5,888	5,924	5,959	5,994	6,029	6,064	6,099	6,134
1,800	5,878	5,913	5,948	5,983	6,018	6,053	6,088	6,123
1,900	5,867	5,902	5,937	5,972	6,007	6,042	6,077	6,112
2,000	5,856	5,891	5,926	5,961	5,996	6,032	6,067	6,102



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages								
	20,500	21,000	21,500	22,000	22,500	23,000	23,500	24,000
0	6,353	6,388	6,423	6,458	6,492	6,527	6,562	6,597
100	6,342	6,377	6,412	6,447	6,482	6,516	6,551	6,586
200	6,331	6,366	6,401	6,436	6,471	6,505	6,540	6,575
300	6,321	6,355	6,390	6,425	6,460	6,495	6,529	6,564
400	6,310	6,345	6,379	6,414	6,449	6,484	6,519	6,553
500	6,299	6,334	6,369	6,403	6,438	6,473	6,508	6,542
600	6,288	6,323	6,358	6,393	6,427	6,462	6,497	6,532
700	6,277	6,312	6,347	6,382	6,417	6,451	6,486	6,521
800	6,266	6,301	6,336	6,371	6,406	6,440	6,475	6,510
900	6,255	6,290	6,325	6,360	6,395	6,430	6,464	6,499
1,000	6,245	6,280	6,314	6,349	6,384	6,419	6,454	6,488
1,100	6,234	6,269	6,304	6,338	6,373	6,408	6,443	6,478
1,200	6,223	6,258	6,293	6,328	6,362	6,397	6,432	6,467
1,300	6,212	6,247	6,282	6,317	6,352	6,386	6,421	6,456
1,400	6,201	6,236	6,271	6,306	6,341	6,376	6,410	6,445
1,500	6,191	6,225	6,260	6,295	6,330	6,365	6,400	6,434
1,600	6,180	6,215	6,250	6,284	6,319	6,354	6,389	6,424
1,700	6,169	6,204	6,239	6,274	6,308	6,343	6,378	6,413
1,800	6,158	6,193	6,228	6,263	6,298	6,332	6,367	6,402
1,900	6,147	6,182	6,217	6,252	6,287	6,322	6,356	6,391
2,000	6,137	6,171	6,206	6,241	6,276	6,311	6,346	6,380

PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages									
	24,500	25,000	25,500	26,000	26,500	27,000	27,500	28,000	
0	6,631	6,666	6,701	6,735	6,770	6,804	6,839	6,873	
100	6,620	6,655	6,690	6,724	6,759	6,793	6,828	6,862	
200	6,610	6,644	6,679	6,713	6,748	6,783	6,817	6,852	
300	6,599	6,633	6,668	6,703	6,737	6,772	6,806	6,841	
400	6,588	6,623	6,657	6,692	6,726	6,761	6,795	6,830	
500	6,577	6,612	6,646	6,681	6,716	6,750	6,785	6,819	
600	6,566	6,601	6,636	6,670	6,705	6,739	6,774	6,808	
700	6,555	6,590	6,625	6,659	6,694	6,729	6,763	6,798	
800	6,545	6,579	6,614	6,649	6,683	6,718	6,752	6,787	
900	6,534	6,569	6,603	6,638	6,672	6,707	6,741	6,776	
1,000	6,523	6,558	6,592	6,627	6,662	6,696	6,731	6,765	
1,100	6,512	6,547	6,582	6,616	6,651	6,685	6,720	6,754	
1,200	6,501	6,536	6,571	6,605	6,640	6,675	6,709	6,744	
1,300	6,491	6,525	6,560	6,595	6,629	6,664	6,698	6,733	
1,400	6,480	6,515	6,549	6,584	6,618	6,653	6,688	6,722	
1,500	6,469	6,504	6,538	6,573	6,608	6,642	6,677	6,711	
1,600	6,458	6,493	6,528	6,562	6,597	6,631	6,666	6,701	
1,700	6,448	6,482	6,517	6,551	6,586	6,621	6,655	6,690	
1,800	6,437	6,471	6,506	6,541	6,575	6,610	6,644	6,679	
1,900	6,426	6,461	6,495	6,530	6,565	6,599	6,634	6,668	
2,000	6,415	6,450	6,485	6,519	6,554	6,588	6,623	6,657	

PETITIONER: RESPONDENT:	Petitioner Respondent	CASE NUMBER:
----------------------------	----------------------------------------	--------------

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages	28,500	29,000	29,500	30,000	30,500	31,000	31,500	32,000
	0	6,908	6,942	6,976	7,011	7,045	7,079	7,114
100	6,897	6,931	6,966	7,000	7,034	7,069	7,103	7,137
200	6,886	6,920	6,955	6,989	7,024	7,058	7,092	7,126
300	6,875	6,910	6,944	6,978	7,013	7,047	7,081	7,116
400	6,864	6,899	6,933	6,968	7,002	7,036	7,071	7,105
500	6,854	6,888	6,922	6,957	6,991	7,025	7,060	7,094
600	6,843	6,877	6,912	6,946	6,980	7,015	7,049	7,083
700	6,832	6,866	6,901	6,935	6,970	7,004	7,038	7,072
800	6,821	6,856	6,890	6,924	6,959	6,993	7,027	7,062
900	6,810	6,845	6,879	6,914	6,948	6,982	7,017	7,051
1,000	6,800	6,834	6,868	6,903	6,937	6,972	7,006	7,040
1,100	6,789	6,823	6,858	6,892	6,926	6,961	6,995	7,029
1,200	6,778	6,813	6,847	6,881	6,916	6,950	6,984	7,019
1,300	6,767	6,802	6,836	6,871	6,905	6,939	6,974	7,008
1,400	6,757	6,791	6,825	6,860	6,894	6,929	6,963	6,997
1,500	6,746	6,780	6,815	6,849	6,883	6,918	6,952	6,986
1,600	6,735	6,769	6,804	6,838	6,873	6,907	6,941	6,976
1,700	6,724	6,759	6,793	6,828	6,862	6,896	6,931	6,965
1,800	6,713	6,748	6,782	6,817	6,851	6,886	6,920	6,954
1,900	6,703	6,737	6,772	6,806	6,840	6,875	6,909	6,943
2,000	6,692	6,726	6,761	6,795	6,830	6,864	6,898	6,933



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages								
	32,500	33,000	33,500	34,000	34,500	35,000	35,500	36,000
0	7,184	7,222	7,260	7,298	7,335	7,373	7,411	7,448
100	7,173	7,211	7,249	7,287	7,324	7,362	7,400	7,438
200	7,162	7,200	7,238	7,276	7,314	7,351	7,389	7,427
300	7,152	7,190	7,227	7,265	7,303	7,341	7,378	7,416
400	7,141	7,179	7,217	7,254	7,292	7,330	7,368	7,405
500	7,130	7,168	7,206	7,244	7,281	7,319	7,357	7,395
600	7,119	7,157	7,195	7,233	7,271	7,308	7,346	7,384
700	7,109	7,146	7,184	7,222	7,260	7,298	7,335	7,373
800	7,098	7,136	7,174	7,211	7,249	7,287	7,325	7,362
900	7,087	7,125	7,163	7,201	7,238	7,276	7,314	7,352
1,000	7,076	7,114	7,152	7,190	7,228	7,265	7,303	7,341
1,100	7,066	7,103	7,141	7,179	7,217	7,255	7,292	7,330
1,200	7,055	7,093	7,131	7,168	7,206	7,244	7,282	7,319
1,300	7,044	7,082	7,120	7,158	7,195	7,233	7,271	7,309
1,400	7,033	7,071	7,109	7,147	7,185	7,222	7,260	7,298
1,500	7,023	7,060	7,098	7,136	7,174	7,212	7,250	7,287
1,600	7,012	7,050	7,088	7,125	7,163	7,201	7,239	7,277
1,700	7,001	7,039	7,077	7,115	7,153	7,190	7,228	7,266
1,800	6,990	7,028	7,066	7,104	7,142	7,180	7,217	7,255
1,900	6,980	7,018	7,055	7,093	7,131	7,169	7,207	7,244
2,000	6,969	7,007	7,045	7,083	7,120	7,158	7,196	7,234



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages	36,500	37,000	37,500	38,000	38,500	39,000	39,500	40,000
	0	7,486	7,524	7,561	7,599	7,636	7,674	7,711
100	7,475	7,513	7,550	7,588	7,625	7,663	7,700	7,738
200	7,464	7,502	7,540	7,577	7,615	7,652	7,690	7,727
300	7,454	7,491	7,529	7,566	7,604	7,641	7,679	7,716
400	7,443	7,481	7,518	7,556	7,593	7,631	7,668	7,706
500	7,432	7,470	7,507	7,545	7,582	7,620	7,657	7,695
600	7,421	7,459	7,497	7,534	7,572	7,609	7,647	7,684
700	7,411	7,448	7,486	7,523	7,561	7,599	7,636	7,673
800	7,400	7,438	7,475	7,513	7,550	7,588	7,625	7,663
900	7,389	7,427	7,464	7,502	7,540	7,577	7,615	7,652
1,000	7,379	7,416	7,454	7,491	7,529	7,566	7,604	7,641
1,100	7,368	7,405	7,443	7,481	7,518	7,556	7,593	7,631
1,200	7,357	7,395	7,432	7,470	7,507	7,545	7,582	7,620
1,300	7,346	7,384	7,422	7,459	7,497	7,534	7,572	7,609
1,400	7,336	7,373	7,411	7,448	7,486	7,524	7,561	7,599
1,500	7,325	7,363	7,400	7,438	7,475	7,513	7,550	7,588
1,600	7,314	7,352	7,389	7,427	7,465	7,502	7,540	7,577
1,700	7,303	7,341	7,379	7,416	7,454	7,491	7,529	7,566
1,800	7,293	7,330	7,368	7,406	7,443	7,481	7,518	7,556
1,900	7,282	7,320	7,357	7,395	7,433	7,470	7,508	7,545
2,000	7,271	7,309	7,347	7,384	7,422	7,459	7,497	7,534



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages								
	40,500	41,000	41,500	42,000	42,500	43,000	43,500	44,000
0	<i>7,786</i>	<i>7,823</i>	<i>7,861</i>	<i>7,898</i>	<i>7,935</i>	<i>7,972</i>	<i>8,010</i>	<i>8,047</i>
100	<i>7,775</i>	<i>7,813</i>	<i>7,850</i>	<i>7,887</i>	<i>7,924</i>	<i>7,962</i>	<i>7,999</i>	<i>8,036</i>
200	<i>7,764</i>	<i>7,802</i>	<i>7,839</i>	<i>7,876</i>	<i>7,914</i>	<i>7,951</i>	<i>7,988</i>	<i>8,025</i>
300	<i>7,754</i>	<i>7,791</i>	<i>7,828</i>	<i>7,866</i>	<i>7,903</i>	<i>7,940</i>	<i>7,977</i>	<i>8,015</i>
400	<i>7,743</i>	<i>7,780</i>	<i>7,818</i>	<i>7,855</i>	<i>7,892</i>	<i>7,930</i>	<i>7,967</i>	<i>8,004</i>
500	<i>7,732</i>	<i>7,770</i>	<i>7,807</i>	<i>7,844</i>	<i>7,882</i>	<i>7,919</i>	<i>7,956</i>	<i>7,993</i>
600	<i>7,722</i>	<i>7,759</i>	<i>7,796</i>	<i>7,834</i>	<i>7,871</i>	<i>7,908</i>	<i>7,945</i>	<i>7,983</i>
700	<i>7,711</i>	<i>7,748</i>	<i>7,786</i>	<i>7,823</i>	<i>7,860</i>	<i>7,897</i>	<i>7,935</i>	<i>7,972</i>
800	<i>7,700</i>	<i>7,738</i>	<i>7,775</i>	<i>7,812</i>	<i>7,849</i>	<i>7,887</i>	<i>7,924</i>	<i>7,961</i>
900	<i>7,689</i>	<i>7,727</i>	<i>7,764</i>	<i>7,801</i>	<i>7,839</i>	<i>7,876</i>	<i>7,913</i>	<i>7,951</i>
1,000	<i>7,679</i>	<i>7,716</i>	<i>7,753</i>	<i>7,791</i>	<i>7,828</i>	<i>7,865</i>	<i>7,903</i>	<i>7,940</i>
1,100	<i>7,668</i>	<i>7,705</i>	<i>7,743</i>	<i>7,780</i>	<i>7,817</i>	<i>7,855</i>	<i>7,892</i>	<i>7,929</i>
1,200	<i>7,657</i>	<i>7,695</i>	<i>7,732</i>	<i>7,769</i>	<i>7,807</i>	<i>7,844</i>	<i>7,881</i>	<i>7,918</i>
1,300	<i>7,647</i>	<i>7,684</i>	<i>7,721</i>	<i>7,759</i>	<i>7,796</i>	<i>7,833</i>	<i>7,871</i>	<i>7,908</i>
1,400	<i>7,636</i>	<i>7,673</i>	<i>7,711</i>	<i>7,748</i>	<i>7,785</i>	<i>7,823</i>	<i>7,860</i>	<i>7,897</i>
1,500	<i>7,625</i>	<i>7,663</i>	<i>7,700</i>	<i>7,737</i>	<i>7,775</i>	<i>7,812</i>	<i>7,849</i>	<i>7,886</i>
1,600	<i>7,615</i>	<i>7,652</i>	<i>7,689</i>	<i>7,727</i>	<i>7,764</i>	<i>7,801</i>	<i>7,839</i>	<i>7,876</i>
1,700	<i>7,604</i>	<i>7,641</i>	<i>7,679</i>	<i>7,716</i>	<i>7,753</i>	<i>7,791</i>	<i>7,828</i>	<i>7,865</i>
1,800	<i>7,593</i>	<i>7,631</i>	<i>7,668</i>	<i>7,705</i>	<i>7,743</i>	<i>7,780</i>	<i>7,817</i>	<i>7,854</i>
1,900	<i>7,583</i>	<i>7,620</i>	<i>7,657</i>	<i>7,695</i>	<i>7,732</i>	<i>7,769</i>	<i>7,807</i>	<i>7,844</i>
2,000	<i>7,572</i>	<i>7,609</i>	<i>7,647</i>	<i>7,684</i>	<i>7,721</i>	<i>7,759</i>	<i>7,796</i>	<i>7,833</i>

PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages								
	44,500	45,000	45,500	46,000	46,500	47,000	47,500	48,000
0	8,084	8,121	8,158	8,195	8,232	8,269	8,306	8,343
100	8,073	8,110	8,147	8,184	8,222	8,259	8,296	8,332
200	8,063	8,100	8,137	8,174	8,211	8,248	8,285	8,322
300	8,052	8,089	8,126	8,163	8,200	8,237	8,274	8,311
400	8,041	8,078	8,115	8,152	8,189	8,226	8,263	8,300
500	8,030	8,068	8,105	8,142	8,179	8,216	8,253	8,290
600	8,020	8,057	8,094	8,131	8,168	8,205	8,242	8,279
700	8,009	8,046	8,083	8,120	8,157	8,194	8,231	8,268
800	7,998	8,036	8,073	8,110	8,147	8,184	8,221	8,258
900	7,988	8,025	8,062	8,099	8,136	8,173	8,210	8,247
1,000	7,977	8,014	8,051	8,088	8,125	8,162	8,200	8,236
1,100	7,966	8,003	8,041	8,078	8,115	8,152	8,189	8,226
1,200	7,956	7,993	8,030	8,067	8,104	8,141	8,178	8,215
1,300	7,945	7,982	8,019	8,056	8,093	8,131	8,168	8,205
1,400	7,934	7,971	8,009	8,046	8,083	8,120	8,157	8,194
1,500	7,924	7,961	7,998	8,035	8,072	8,109	8,146	8,183
1,600	7,913	7,950	7,987	8,024	8,062	8,099	8,136	8,173
1,700	7,902	7,940	7,977	8,014	8,051	8,088	8,125	8,162
1,800	7,892	7,929	7,966	8,003	8,040	8,077	8,114	8,151
1,900	7,881	7,918	7,955	7,993	8,030	8,067	8,104	8,141
2,000	7,870	7,908	7,945	7,982	8,019	8,056	8,093	8,130



PETITIONER:	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Respondent	

Total Child Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Petitioner. *Red italic is a cost to Respondent*

Respondent's Gross Bonus Wages				
	48,500	49,000	49,500	50,000
0	8,380	8,417	8,454	8,491
100	8,369	8,406	8,443	8,480
200	8,359	8,396	8,433	8,469
300	8,348	8,385	8,422	8,459
400	8,337	8,374	8,411	8,448
500	8,327	8,364	8,401	8,437
600	8,316	8,353	8,390	8,427
700	8,305	8,342	8,379	8,416
800	8,295	8,332	8,369	8,405
900	8,284	8,321	8,358	8,395
1,000	8,273	8,310	8,347	8,384
1,100	8,263	8,300	8,337	8,374
1,200	8,252	8,289	8,326	8,363
1,300	8,242	8,278	8,315	8,352
1,400	8,231	8,268	8,305	8,342
1,500	8,220	8,257	8,294	8,331
1,600	8,210	8,247	8,283	8,320
1,700	8,199	8,236	8,273	8,310
1,800	8,188	8,225	8,262	8,299
1,900	8,178	8,215	8,252	8,289
2,000	8,167	8,204	8,241	8,278



LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

20. PAMELA DEHERRERA V. JULIAN DEHERRERA

23FL0888

On June 26, 2024, Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) along with an Order Shortening Time (OST) requesting the court compel Respondent to serve his Final Declarations of Disclosure (FDD) and issues sanctions for his failure to do so. On June 26th, the court granted the OST and set the matter for a hearing on July 18, 2024, and directed Petitioner to effectuate service on or before July 1, 2024. Upon review of the court file, Proof of Service shows Respondent was served with the RFO and OST on July 1, 2024, however, it does not show Respondent was served with the other necessary documents.

Petitioner asserts in her declaration that Respondent has not served her with his FDD as required by Family Code section 2150. The court notes Petitioner filed her FL-141 on June 10, 2024, stating she served Respondent with her FDD on June 9, 2024, by personal service. Petitioner has signed the Proof of Service form. The court notes that service must be effectuated by someone other than the party, who is over 18 years of age. Therefore, it appears the service was defective.

Respondent filed a Responsive Declaration on July 2, 2024. Proof of Service shows Petitioner was personally served on July 2, 2024. Respondent asserts in his declaration that he has not been served with Petitioner's FDD. Respondent does not assert he has served Petitioner with his FDD.

The court finds good cause to proceed on the merits of the RFO, despite the issues with service, as Respondent has filed a Responsive Declaration which addresses the issues raised in the RFO and does not dispute proper service.

Family Code sections 2104 and 2105 impose on each party the obligation of making preliminary and final disclosures of assets within the specified timeframes. Where a party fails to comply with their disclosure requirements, the complying party may, among other things, file a motion to compel and seek sanctions against the noncomplying party. Fam. Code § 2107(b)(1).

Here, Petitioner has not made the requisite showing that she has complied with serving her FDDs. The court finds the FL-141 to be deficient, as it is signed by Petitioner. Further, Respondent states in his Responsive Declaration that he has not been served with Petitioner's FDDs. Therefore, the motion to compel is denied, as are the requested sanctions.

All prior orders not in conflict with this order remain in full force and effect. Petitioner shall prepare and file the Findings and Orders After Hearing.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

TENTATIVE RULING #20: THE COURT FINDS GOOD CAUSE TO PROCEED ON THE MERITS OF THE RFO, DESPITE THE ISSUES WITH SERVICE, AS RESPONDENT HAS FILED A RESPONSIVE DECLARATION WHICH ADDRESSES THE ISSUES RAISED IN THE RFO AND DOES NOT DISPUTE PROPER SERVICE. FOR THE REASONS SET FORTH ABOVE, THE COURT DENIES THE MOTION TO COMPEL AND REQUEST FOR SANCTIONS. ALL PRIOR ORDERS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH THIS ORDER REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. PETITIONER SHALL PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

21. STEVE GIRDLESTONE V. ASHLEY GIRDLESTONE

PFL20160763

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) on April 5, 2024, requesting the modification of current child custody and parenting time orders as well as the enforcement of the current orders. The parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) with an appointment on April 19, 2024, and a review hearing on June 6, 2024. Proof of Service shows Respondent was served by mail with address verification in compliance with Family Code section 215 on April 8, 2024. The court notes Petitioner has included a Declaration with the RFO which exceeds the 10-page limit. The court did not grant Petitioner permission to go over the page limit and therefore, has not considered the Declaration past page 10.

Petitioner filed an ex parte application for emergency orders on April 12, 2024. Petitioner requested sole legal and physical custody of the minor due to alleged domestic violence between Respondent and her spouse. Respondent filed a Responsive Declaration on April 15, 2024. The court denied the request on April 15th and affirmed the prior referral to CCRC and review hearing date. Petitioner filed an RFO on April 15th, requesting the same orders as set forth in the ex parte application. It also appears to be duplicative of the April 5, 2024 RFO. Upon review of the court's file, there does not appear to be a Proof of Service for this RFO. As such, the court drops the April 15, 2024 RFO from calendar, due to the lack of proper service and also because it is duplicative of the April 5th RFO.

Both parties attended CCRC on April 19th. The parties were able to reach several agreements. A report with the parties' agreements along with further recommendations was filed with the court on May 24th and mailed to the parties the same day.

Respondent filed a Responsive Declaration on May 21, 2024. Proof of Service shows Petitioner was served by mail on May 21, 2024. The court notes Petitioner's attached Declaration not only exceeds the page limit but is also in a nonconforming font size. The court has not considered the Declaration past the page limit. The court also notes the Declaration contains 80 pages of attachments.

Petitioner filed a Supplemental Declaration on May 29, 2024. Respondent was personally served on May 29, 2024. The court deems this declaration to be a Reply Declaration and therefore, it is timely, and the court can consider it. However, once again, Petitioner has exceeded the page limit, and the court will not consider the Declaration past page 10. The court further notes this Declaration has 44 pages of attachments.

On June 4, 2024, the court granted Respondent's request to continue the hearing to July 18, 2024.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

Petitioner filed a Supplemental Declaration on June 27, 2024. Proof of Service shows it was electronically served on July 10, 2024.

Respondent filed a Declaration and Memorandum of Points and Authorities on July 8, 2024. There is no Proof of Service for these documents as of the date of the drafting of this tentative ruling. Therefore, the court cannot consider these documents.

Petitioner filed a Responsive Declaration on July 10, 2024. Respondent was electronically served on July 10, 2024. The court finds this document to be late filed and therefore, will not consider it.

The court finds it needs to take testimony from the parties. Therefore, the parties are ordered to appear to select Mandatory Settlement Conference (MSC) and trial dates.

TENTATIVE RULING #21: THE PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR TO SELECT MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE (MSC) AND TRIAL DATES.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 18, 2024

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

21. ZACHARY MOODY V. SAMANTHA ESCOBAR

22FL0805

On September 28, 2023, Petitioner filed an Order to Show Cause and Affidavit for Contempt (OSC) asserting several contempt allegations against Respondent. The OSC was personally served on October 5, 2023.

Parties appeared for the arraignment on December 7, 2024. The matter was continued to allow Respondent to meet with the Public Defender.

Parties appeared for further arraignment on May 9, 2024. The Public Defender requested to continue the matter for further proceedings. The court granted the request to continue the matter and set it for further proceedings on July 18, 2024.

The parties are ordered to appear for the hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #21: PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR FOR THE HEARING.